

**No 146**

**Should fiscal policy be relaxed  
in the eurozone?**

Ioanna Konstantakopoulou

June 2015

**Ioanna Konstantakopoulou**

Research Fellow

Centre of Planning and Economic Research, 11 Amerikis Street, 106 72, Athens, Greece

e-mail: [ik@kepe.gr](mailto:ik@kepe.gr), Tel.: +30 210 3676406

**Should fiscal policy be relaxed  
in the eurozone?**

Copyright 2015  
by Centre of Planning and Economic Research  
11 Amerikis Street, 10672 Athens, Greece

[www.kepe.gr](http://www.kepe.gr)

Opinions or value judgments expressed in this paper  
are those of the authors and do not necessarily  
represent those of the Centre of Planning  
and Economic Research

## CENTRE OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH

The Centre was initially established as a research unit, under the title “Centre of Economic Research”, in 1959. Its primary aims were the scientific study of the problems of the Greek economy, the encouragement of economic research and cooperation with other scientific institutions.

In 1964, the Centre acquired its present name and organizational structure, with the following additional objectives: first, the preparation of short, medium and long-term development plans, including plans for local and regional development as well as public investment plans, in accordance with guidelines laid down by the Government; second, the analysis of current developments in the Greek economy along with appropriate short and medium-term forecasts, the formulation of proposals for stabilization and development policies; and, third, the additional education of young economists, particularly in the fields of planning and economic development.

Today, KEPE is the largest economics research institute in Greece, focuses on applied research projects concerning the Greek economy and provides technical advice to the Greek government and the country’s regional authorities on economic and social policy issues.

In the context of these activities, KEPE has issued more than 650 publications since its inception, and currently produces several series of publications, notably the *Studies*, which are research monographs; *Reports* on applied economic issues concerning sectoral and regional problems; *Discussion Papers* that relate to ongoing research projects; *Research Collaborations*, which are research projects prepared in cooperation with other institutes; *Special Issues*; and a monthly and a four-monthly review entitled *Greek Economy* and *Greek Economic Outlook*, respectively, which focus on issues of current economic interest for Greece.

The Centre is in continuous contact with scientific institutions of a similar nature situated outside Greece by exchanging publications, views and information on current economic topics and methods of economic research, thus furthering the advancement of economics in the country.

## **Θα πρέπει να χαλαρώσει η δημοσιονομική πολιτική στην ευρωζώνη;**

### *Περίληψη*

*Σκοπός του άρθρου είναι να διερευνήσει την επίδραση της δημοσιονομικής πολιτικής στο εμπορικό ισοζύγιο. Για την εμπειρική ανάλυση χρησιμοποιούνται τριμηνιαία στατιστικά δεδομένα της περιόδου 2000-12, ενώ το δείγμα περιλαμβάνει τις χώρες της Ευρωζώνης. Ο FMOLS εκτιμητής χρησιμοποιείται για την οικονομετρική εκτίμηση των panel δεδομένων. Τα αποτελέσματα δείχνουν ότι η σύνθεση της συνολικής ζήτησης επιδρά στον εξωτερικό τομέα. Συγκεκριμένα, καταλήγουμε στο συμπέρασμα ότι μια αύξηση της δημόσιας δαπάνης οδηγεί σε αύξηση των εισαγωγών και *ceteris paribus*, αυτό μπορεί να προκαλέσει χειροτέρευση του εμπορικού ισοζυγίου.*

# Should fiscal policy be relaxed in the eurozone?

by

Ioanna Konstantakopoulou<sup>1</sup>

## *Abstract*

The purpose of this paper is to study the impact of fiscal policy on the trade account. The empirical analysis is based on quarterly data of the euro area economies for the period 2000-2012. A fully modified OLS estimator is used for the econometric analysis. *We provide empirical indications that the composition of total demand affects the result of the external sector.* We find that an increase in government expenditures leads to an increase in imports; this implies that, ceteris paribus that it can lead to a deterioration of the trade balance.

**Key words:** Fiscal policy; current account; twin deficits; cointegration.

**JEL classifications:** F40, E62, H3.

---

<sup>1</sup> Research Fellow, Centre of Planning and Economic Research, 11 Amerikis Street, 106 72 Athens, Greece, E-mail addresses: ik@kepe.gr, Tel.: +30 210 3676406.

## 1. Introduction

Recent policy discussions point to the conclusion that fiscal discipline in the eurozone is perhaps too much of a straightjacket. In this paper we analyze the question using the effect, if any, of fiscal policy on the current account. Several studies have been conducted using different methodologies, samples of countries and time periods to investigate the relationship between fiscal policy and current account deficits. The possible link between the budget deficit and the current account deficit renders the investigation of such issues particularly interesting as, in the last years, the economies of southern Eurozone countries<sup>2</sup>, which include the Greek economy, are characterized by twin deficits.

Therefore, the crucial question raised is whether and to what extent fiscal policy could solve the problem of external imbalances.

It is commonly held that changes in fiscal policy are associated with changes in the current account; however, the results of studies on the sign of the relationship are conflicting. More specifically, it is supported that low government expenditure and improvement in the fiscal balance lead to an improvement in the current account deficit and large budget deficits lead to high deficits in the current account, while other studies lead to negative relationship.

More specifically, Abbas et al. (2010) accept the existence of a relationship between fiscal policy and current account deficits, using a panel analysis of 124 countries and conclude that fiscal expansion worsens the current account. Beetsman et al. (2007), agree with the negative relationship using similar methodologies, on a sample of the EU-15. Also Monacelli and Perotti (2010), find strong evidence to support the case of twin deficits. They find that a rise in government spending causes a depreciation of the real exchange rate and a trade balance deficit. Miller and Russek (1992) argue that the expansion of budget deficit is associated with an increase in the current account deficit. Similar results have been obtained by Roubini (1988) and Summers (1986), while Bernheim (1988) associates any government budget surpluses with surpluses in the external sector. Finally, Corsetti and Muller (2006) argue that the effects of expansionary fiscal policy lead to a worsening external deficit.

In contrast, Khalid and Guan (1999) reject a relationship between budget and current account deficit for developed economies, while in developing countries this relationship holds. In the same direction, Kim and Roubini (2008), using panel cointegration analysis for 48

---

<sup>2</sup> In case of Italy, the result of the current account was positive.

countries, indicate that an increase in short-term government budget deficit leads to a marginal improvement in current account deficit.

This paper investigates the impact of fiscal policies on current account in the Eurozone using panel cointegration analysis. Specifically, we study the effects of a permanent change in public spending on the trade balance, as the latter is at the heart of the problem of current account deficits, by analyzing the relationship of public spending and imports.

We investigate the impact of the *composition* of total demand on the trade account deficit, or how the breakdown into public demand, private demand and export demand affect the size of the trade balance deficit. We focus on component imports because the demand for imports is determined by domestic demand factors, while exports depend on external demand factors. To understand the effects of fiscal policy, we do not follow the traditional methodology, which considers that total domestic spending affects imports and break down its components (domestic expenditure components): private consumption, private investment, public investment, and exports, allowing substantially different elasticities.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the model. Section 3 presents the econometric method and empirical results. Section 4 presents the conclusions.

## 2 Specification of the model

Our analysis focuses on the impact of fiscal policy on the trade balance, since, as we mentioned, in many Eurozone countries the latter is the main component of the current account deficit. We base the analysis on an extension of the traditional model of trade balance. More specifically, the basic trade model consists of an import and an export equation, which relates import and export volumes to domestic and foreign real income and relative prices.

Exports

$$X_t = a_0 Y_t^{*a_1} r p_t^{a_2},$$

*in logs*       $x_t = a_0 + a_1 y_t^* + a_2 r p_t$       (1)

Imports

$$M_t = \beta_0 Y_t^{\beta_1} r p_t^{\beta_2},$$

*in logs*       $m_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 y_t + \beta_2 r p_t$       (2)

where  $a_1$  and  $\beta_1$  are the income elasticities,  $a_2$  and  $\beta_2$  are the price elasticities of exports and imports,  $y_t$  are the real income, which equals the sum of the demand components (private



consumption, public spending, private investments and net exports),  $y_t^*$  is the foreign real income and  $rp_t$  denotes relative prices.

Previous empirical studies have found that demand for imports is not determined only by the level of domestic income and the final expenditure but also by the composition of expenditure (Abbort and Seddighi 1996, Giovannetti 1989, and Mohammad and Tang 2000). A basic result arising from literature is that at least private consumption and public expenditure elasticities present common elasticities. Thus, *the impact of fiscal policy measures in import demand is not taken into account by the existing literature*. The model that we analyze allows us to measure the effect of a change in public expenditure on imports because we analyze the domestic real income in the components of demand and view private and public consumption expenditure separately.

The extended import equation has the following form:

$$M_t = \gamma_0 C_t^{\gamma_1} I_t^{\gamma_2} G_t^{\gamma_3} X_t^{\gamma_4} RP_t^{\gamma_5}$$

*in logs*  $m_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 c_t + \gamma_2 i_t + \gamma_3 g_t + \gamma_4 x_t + \gamma_5 p_t$  (3)

Equation (3) permits different import elasticities for private consumption and government expenditure. We estimate equation (3) in order to investigate long-term equilibrium relationship between variables.

### 3. Econometric Analysis

#### 3.1 Introduction

Our econometric analysis is conducted as follows. First, we detect the nature of the underlying time-series properties using panel unit root tests. Second, we use panel cointegration tests because they offer beneficial results in terms of power. Cointegration vectors are estimated by means of the fully modified (FM) OLS estimation technique for heterogeneous cointegrated panels (Pedroni 2000).

All data are derived from the International Monetary Fund (IFS), for the period 2000:I-2012:IV, for the eurozone countries: Germany, France, Italy, Spain, the Netherlands, Greece, Belgium, Portugal, Austria, Finland, Ireland and Luxembourg. The variables chosen for our analysis are: volume of imports, real exports, real income, real private consumption, real public spending and the relative prices.

#### 3.2 Testing for Integration

To test for stationarity of the time series, four panel unit root tests are used: Levin, Lin and Chu (2002) - hereafter the LLC test, the IPS test of Im, Pesaran and Shin (2003), the MW test of Maddala and Wu (1999), and a test belonging to the same category, the Choi (2001) test. These tests have non-stationarity (i.e., the existence of a unit root) as the null hypothesis. The results of the panel unit roots tests for each variable are shown in Table I.

We fail to reject the null hypothesis of unit root for all variables in log levels, but we reject it for their first differences.

	Panel cointegration statistics	Group-mean panel cointegration statistics
Variance ratio	-2.98***	
PP rho-statistics	-4.95***	-2.95***
PP t-statistics	-7.10***	-5.16***
ADF statistics	-6.66***	-3.9***

Note: \*\*\* indicate a rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration at the 1% level. All test statistics are asymptotically normally distributed.

### 3.3. Testing for Cointegration

We conduct panel cointegration tests to examine whether there is a cointegrating relationship between the variables. The panel cointegration tests offer beneficial results in terms of power. Moreover, these tests allow for heterogeneity in the slopes of the cointegrating equation. Pedroni (1999, 2004) proposes seven tests that follow asymptotically standard normal distributions. First of all, he constructs three non-parametric tests that correct for serial correlation, a non-parametric variance ratio statistic, a test analogous to the Phillips and Perron (PP) rho-statistic and, a test analogous to the PP t-statistic. These panel statistics are based on pooling the data along the within dimension of the panel. He also constructs a fourth parametric test similar to the ADF-type test. The other three panel cointegration statistics are based on a group mean approach. The first two of the group-mean panel cointegration statistics are panel versions of the Phillips and Perron rho and t-statistics, respectively. The third is a group-mean ADF test analogous to the Im, Pesaran, and Shin (2003) panel unit root test. In all seven tests, the null hypothesis is of no cointegration, with different alternative hypothesis. The results of the Pedroni tests are presented in Table II. They support the hypothesis that there exists a long-run relationships between variables.

Table II: Panel Unit Roots Tests												
	Intercept and trend											
Log	Exports				Imports				Government expenditure			
Total	Level		Differences		Level		Differences		Level		Differences	
	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.
IPS	-0,92	0,367	-3,2	0,000	0,587	0,621	-5,5	0,000	0,714	0,762	-14,2	0,000
ADF-Fisher	19,27	0,467	62,01	0,000	19,45	0,727	71,07	0,000	23,29	0,502	130,22	0,000
PP-Fisher	27,05	0,105	47,95	0,000	16,73	0,559	220,87	0,000	34,09	0,210	190,43	0,000

	Intercept and trend											
Log	Consumption				Relative Prices				Investments			
Total	Level		Differences		Level		Differences		Level		Differences	
	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.	Test statistic	Prob.
IPS	-0,16	0,434	-14,76	0,000	1,84	0,967	-9,76	0,000	-1,09	0,080	-4,04	0,000
ADF-Fisher	21,15	0,629	210,87	0,000	8,82	0,989	129,8	0,000	21,87	0,351	60,04	0,000
PP-Fisher	27,08	0,232	280,82	0,000	2,71	0,977	174,62	0,000	19,87	0,108	112,16	0,000

Notes: a) Probabilities for Fisher tests are computed using an asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality, b) Assume  $H_0$ : No unit root

### 3.4 Estimation of the cointegration vector

To estimate the long-run relationship between variables there is a variety of estimators. These include within-group and between-group fully modified OLS (FMOLS) estimators and dynamic OLS (DOLS) estimators. FMOLS is a non-parametric approach to dealing with correlation for serial correlation. DOLS is a parametric approach in which lags and leads are introduced to cope with the problem irrespectively of the order of integration and the existence or absence thereof of cointegration<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>3</sup> Pedroni (2001) has suggested a between-dimension, group-means panel DOLS estimator that incorporates corrections for endogeneity and serial correlation parametrically. He used the following regression model which

includes lead and lag dynamics:  $y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i x_{it} + \sum_{j=-K_l}^{K_l} \gamma_{ik} \Delta x_{i,t-k} + e_{it}$  where

$$\hat{\beta}_{i,DOLS} = \left[ N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_{t=1}^T z_{it} z_{it}' \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T z_{it} \bar{y}_{it} \right) \right]_1 \quad (*) \text{ and } z_{it} \text{ is the } 2(k+1) \times 1 \text{ vector of regressors}$$

$z_{it} = \left\{ (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i), \Delta x_{i,t-k}, \dots, \Delta x_{i,t+k} \right\}$ ;  $\tilde{y}_{it} = y_{it} - \bar{y}_i$ ; the subscript 1 outside the brackets in (\*) indicate that only the first element of the vector is taken to obtain the pooled slope coefficient.

We follow the FMOLS method appropriate for heterogeneous cointegrated panels (Pedroni, 2000). This does not have the drawbacks of the OLS method of estimation, which, as Pedroni noted, are associated with the fact that a standard panel OLS estimator is asymptotically biased and that its distribution is dependent on nuisance parameters associated with the dynamics underlying processes of variables. To eliminate the problem of bias due to the endogeneity of the regressors, Pedroni developed the group-means FMOLS estimator, by incorporating the Phillips and Hansen (1990) semi-parametric correction into the OLS estimator. The technique also accounts fully for heterogeneity in short-run dynamics as well as for fixed effects. Consider the following cointegrated system for a simple two variable panel of  $i = 1, \dots, N$  members,

$$y_{it} = \alpha_{it} + \beta x_{it} + u_{it} \quad (4)$$

The FMOLS estimator is:

$$\hat{\beta}_{i,FMOLS} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_{t=1}^T (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i) y_{it}^* - T \hat{y}_i \right) \quad (5)$$

where 
$$y_{it}^* = (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i) - \frac{\hat{\Omega}_{21i}}{\hat{\Omega}_{22i}} \Delta x_{it} \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\Gamma}_{21i} - \hat{\Omega}_{21i}^0 - \frac{\hat{\Omega}_{21i}}{\hat{\Omega}_{22i}} \left( \hat{\Gamma}_{22i} - \hat{\Omega}_{22i}^0 \right) \quad (7)$$

$\hat{\Omega}$  and  $\hat{\Gamma}$  are covariances and sums of autocovariances obtained from the long-run covariance matrix for model (4).

Panel cointegration regression is estimated by imposing common time dummies on the regression. The common time dummies allow for more general dynamic structure. FMOLS estimates of the cointegrating relationships are presented in Table III on a per country basis and for the panel as a whole. For the panel estimates, including the time dummies, the coefficients of all variables are statistically significant. Moreover, *an increase in the government expenditures by 1 percent leads to an increase in goods imports by about 0.42 percent in the eurozone*. Thus, the application of an expansionary fiscal policy leads to a deterioration of the trade balance.

**Table III: FMOLS Results**

	<b>Government expenditure</b>	<b>Consumption</b>	<b>Investments</b>	<b>Exports</b>	<b>Relative Prices</b>
<b>Germany</b>	0,09 [3,51]	0,96 [3,51]	0,54 [8,54]	0,07 [2,45]	-0,12 [-2,05]
<b>France</b>	0,13 [3,31]	0,43 [3,35]	0,34 [2,12]	0,79 [6,24]	-0,09 [-1,95]
<b>Italy</b>	0,41 [2,78]	1,23 [4,99]	0,10 [3,38]	0,53 [4,41]	-0,01 [-4,44]
<b>Spain</b>	-0,35 [-1,03]	0,88 [3,48]	0,43 [3,33]	0,53 [3,04]	-0,03 [-2,95]
<b>Netherlands</b>	0,18 [3,08]	-0,16 [-3,84]	0,43 [5,92]	0,70 [14,99]	-0,01 [-2,85]
<b>Greece</b>	0,36 [4,56]	0,83 [7,62]	0,52 [7,51]	0,11 [8,53]	0,00 [1,80]
<b>Belgium</b>	0,47 [1,25]	0,37 [1,33]	0,56 [3,90]	0,86 [13,68]	0,00 [-2,23]
<b>Portugal</b>	0,12 [1,76]	0,89 [8,05]	0,78 [8,28]	0,44 [5,08]	0,00 [-1,65]
<b>Austria</b>	0,11 [2,21]	0,09 [1,12]	0,55 [9,19]	0,75 [17,29]	0,00 [1,09]
<b>Finland</b>	0,51 [1,87]	0,18 [4,26]	0,45 [3,56]	0,65 [10,35]	-0,01 [-1,47]
<b>Ireland</b>	0,68 [4,08]	0,71 [3,98]	0,30 [4,50]	0,67 [6,50]	-0,01 [-4,33]
<b>Luxembourg</b>	0,69 [23,07]	0,02 [0,43]	0,00 [-2,2]	-0,02 [-7,31]	-0,02 [-7,31]
	<b>0,42 [7,72]</b>	<b>0,53 [9,46]</b>	<b>0,46 [14,65]</b>	<b>0,62 [15,99]</b>	<b>-0,08 [-8,66]</b>

Note: Figures in brackets are t-statistics.

## **4. Conclusions**

This paper examines the empirical relationship between fiscal policy and current account deficits. The results show that the implemented fiscal policy affects the trade balance. Previous studies did not take into consideration that the components of private and public demand in the import equation would have different long-term elasticities. Using panel cointegration analysis for the Eurozone countries, we find that an increase in public spending has a significant effect on imports; particularly an increase in public spending by 1 percent will result in an increase in imports of 0.42 percent. Therefore, keeping other factors constant would lead to a worsening trade balance.

## References

1. Abbas, S.M.A., Bouhga-Hagbe, J., Fatas, A.J., Mauro, P., and R.C. Velloso (2010), "Fiscal Policy and Current Account," *IMF Working Paper*, No. 10/121, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
2. Abbott, A.J. and H.R. Seddighi (1996), "Aggregate Imports and Expenditure Components in the UK: An Empirical Analysis," *Applied Economics* 28, 1119-1125.
3. Beetsma, R., Giuliodori, M., and F. Klaassen (2007), "The Effects of Public Spending Shocks on Trade Balances and Budget Deficits in the European Union," *Journal of the European Economic Association* 6(2-3), 414-423.
4. Bernheim, D. (1988), "Budget Deficits and the Balance of Trade," *Tax policy and the Economy* 2, 1-31.
5. Blanchard, O., and R. Perotti (2002) "An empirical characterization of the dynamic effects of changes on government spending and taxes on output," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117(4): 1329-68.
6. Choi, I. (2001), "Unit Root Tests for Panel Data," *Journal of International Money and Finance* 20, 249-272.
7. Corsetti, G., and G. Muller (2006) "Budget Deficits and Current Accounts: Openness and Fiscal Persistence," *Economic Policy* 21(48), 597-638.
8. Giovannetti, G. (1989), "Aggregate Imports and Expenditure Components in Italy: An Econometric Analysis," *Applied Economics* 21, 957-971.
9. Im, K.S., Pesaran, M.H., and Y. Smith (2003), "Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels," *Journal of Econometrics* 115, 53-74.
10. Khalid, A.M., and T.W. Guan (1999), "Causality Tests of Budget and Current Account Deficits: Cross-Country Comparisons," *Empirical Economics* 24, 389-402.
11. Kim, S., and N. Roubini (2008), "Twin Deficit and Twin Divergence? Fiscal Policy, Current Account and Real Exchange Rate in the U.S.," *Journal of Economic Literature* 46, 362-383.
12. Levin, A., Lin, C.F., and C.S.J., Chu (2002), "Using root test in panel data: asymptotic and finite-sample properties," *Journal of Econometrics* 108, 1-24.
13. Maddala, G.S., and S. Wu (1999), "A Comparative Study of Unit Root Tests with Panel Data and a New Simple Test," *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 61, 631-652.
14. Miller, S., and F.S. Russek (1992), "Are the Twin Deficits Really Related?," *Contemporary Policy Issues* 10, 112-121.
15. Mohammad, H.A., and T.C. Tang (2000), "Aggregate Imports and Expenditure Components in Malaysia," *ASEAN Bulletin* 17, 257-269.
16. Monacelli, T., and R. Perotti (2010), "Fiscal Policy, the Real Exchange Rate and Traded Goods," *The Economic Journal* 120, 437-461.
17. Pedroni, P. (1999), "Critical Values for Cointegration Tests in Heterogeneous Panels with Multiple Regressors," *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 61, 653-678.
18. Pedroni, P. (2000), "Fully Modified OLS for Heterogeneous Cointegrated Panels," in B.H. Baltagi, T.B. Fomby and R.C. Hill (eds), *Nonstationary Panels, Panel Cointegration and Dynamic Panels*, Advances in Econometrics, vol. 15, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.

19. Pedroni, P., (2004) "Panel cointegration: asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis: new results," *Econometric Theory* 20, 597–627.
20. Phillips, P.C.B. and B.E. Hansen (1990), "Statistical Inference in Instrumental Variable Regression with I(1) Processes," *Review of Economic Studies* 57, 99-125.
21. Roubini, N. (1988) "Current Account and Budget Deficits in an Intertemporal Model of Consumption and Taxation Smoothing. A Solution to the Feldstein-Horioka Puzzle?' *NBER Working Paper*, no.2773.
22. Summers, L.H. (1986), "Debt Problems and Macroeconomic Policies," *NBER Working Paper*, no. 2061.



## IN THE SAME SERIES

145. J. Cavounidis and I. Cholezas, “Labour Force Participation of Female Youth: The Role of Culture”, 2015.
144. N. Vayonis and S. Skoultzos, “The Importance of Russia and China Tourism Markets for Global and Greek Inbound Tourism”, 2015 (In Greek).
143. R. Panagiotou, “Western Balkan Accession Policies: An Evaluation of the Greek 2014 EU Presidency”, 2015.
142. T. Mariolis and G. Soklis, “The Sraffian Multiplier for the Greek Economy: Evidence from the Supply and Use Table for the Year 2010”, 2015.
141. S. K. Papaioannou, “Fiscal Multipliers in Euro Area Peripheral Countries: Empirical Evidence from a Structural VAR Model”, 2015.
140. A. Koutroulis, Y. Panagopoulos and E. Tsouma, “Is there an Asymmetric Response in Unemployment Rate to Changes in Output? A Hidden Cointegration Approach”, 2015.
139. A. Chymis and A. Skouloudis, “National CSR and Institutional Conditions: An Exploratory Study”, 2014.
138. Th. Tsekeris, “Network Analysis of Inter-sectoral Relationships and Key Economic Sectors”, 2014.
137. I. Cholezas, “The Greek Labour Market under Conditions of Economic Recession and the «Youth Guarantee»”, 2014 (in Greek).
136. S. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Efficiency Impact of ICT and the Role of Product Market Regulation: Sectoral Analysis Across a Panel of EU Economies”, 2014.
135. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Reassessing the Asymmetries and Rigidities in the Interest Rate Pass Through Process: A Hidden Co-integration Approach”, 2014. Under publication in *Credit and Capital markets*.
134. S. K. Papaioannou, “Long Run Effects of Regulation Across OECD Countries: Panel Data Evidence within a Productivity Convergence Model”, 2014.
133. P. Prodromidis, S. Petros and A. Petralias, “Analyzing the Unleaded Gasoline Retail Price Patterns in Greece: Apr. 2011 – Dec. 2012”, 2013 (in Greek). Published in *South Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, vol. 12 (2), 2014, pp. 215-241.
132. S. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Public Ownership, Entry Regulation and TFP Growth within a Productivity Convergence Model: Industry Level Evidence from South European Countries”, 2013.

131. S. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Human Capital Effects on Technical Inefficiency: A Stochastic Frontier Analysis a Cross Sectors of the Greek Economy”, 2013. Published in *International Review of Applied Economics*, vol. 28 (6), 2014, pp. 797-812.
130. Th. Tsekeris and Kl. Vogiatzoglou, “Regional Specialization and Public Infrastructure Investments: Empirical Evidence from Greece”, 2013. Published in modified form in *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, vol. 6 (3), 2014, pp. 265-289.
129. E. Athanassiou, N. Kanellopoulos, R. Karagiannis, I. Katselidis and A. Kotsi, “Measurement of the Intensity of Regulations in Professions and Economic Activities in Greece via Regulation Indices”, 2013 (in Greek).
128. D. Papageorgiou and A. Kazanas, “A Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model for a Small Open Economy: Greece”, 2013.
127. Th. Tsekeris, “Measurements of Intra- and Inter-sectoral Dependencies of Public Investments with Budget Constrains”, 2013. Published in modified form in *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, vol. 48 (4), 2014, pp. 263-272.
126. S. K. Papaioannou, “Economic Growth in Greece: Medium Term Trends and Future Prospects”, 2012.
125. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Is the Eurozone Homogeneous and Symmetric? An Interest Rate Pass-through Approach Before and During the Recent Financial Crisis”, 2012.
124. D. Papageorgiou, T. Efthimiadis and I. Konstantakopoulou, “Effective Tax Rates in Greece”, 2012.
123. I. Konstantakopoulou and E. G. Tsionas, “ABC’s of the 2008 Recession: Robust and Reliable International Evidence on the Austrian Theory of the Business Cycle”, 2012.
122. K. Vogiatzoglou and Th. Tsekeris, “Spatial Agglomeration of Manufacturing in Greece”, 2011. Published in *European Planning Studies*, vol. 21, 2013, pp. 1853-1872.
121. N. C. Kanellopoulos, “Disability and Labour Force Participation in Greece: A Microeconometric Analysis”, 2011.
120. K. Athanassouli, “Transition Professionnelle et Rémunérations des Jeunes Raires Grecs: Une Mise en Évidence des Stratégies Par Genre et des Tendances des Pays de l’OCDE”, 2011.
119. A. Caraballo and T. Efthimiadis, “Is 2% an Optimal Inflation Rate? Evidence from the Euro Area”, 2011.
118. P. Prodromídis and Th. Tsekeris, “Probing into Greece’s 2007-2013 National Strategic Reference Framework. A Suggestion to Review the Regional Allocation of Funds”, 2011 (in Greek).
117. P. Paraskevaidis, “The Economic Role of the EU in the Global Economy: A Comparative Analysis”, 2011.

116. E. A. Kaditi and E. I. Nitsi, "Recent Evidence on Taxpayers' Reporting Decision in Greece: A Quantile Regression Approach", 2011.
115. T. Efthimiadis and P. Tsintzos, "The Share of External Debt and Economic Growth", 2011.
114. E. Tsouma, "Predicting Growth and Recessions Using Leading Indicators: Evidence from Greece", 2010.
113. A. Chymis, I. E. Nikolaou and K. Evangelinos, "Environmental Information, Asymmetric Information and Financial Markets: A Game-Theoretic Approach", 2010. Published in *Environmental Modelling and Assessment*, vol. 18 (6), 2013, pp. 615-628.
112. E. A. Kaditi and E. I. Nitsi, "Applying Regression Quantiles to Farm Efficiency Estimation", 2010.
111. I. Cholezas, "Gender Earnings Differentials in Europe", 2010.
110. Th. Tsekeris, "Greek Airports: Efficiency Measurement and Analysis of Determinants", 2010. Published in *Journal of Air Transport Management*, vol.17 (2), 2011, pp. 139-141.
109. S. P. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, "Technical Efficiency and the Role of Information Technology: A Stochastic Production Frontier Study Across OECD Countries", 2010.
108. I. Cholezas, "Education in Europe: Earnings Inequality, Ability and Uncertainty", 2010.
107. N. Benos, "Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth: Empirical Evidence from EU Countries", 2009.
106. E. A. Kaditi and E. I. Nitsi, "A Two-stage Productivity Analysis Using Bootstrapped Malmquist Index and Quantile Regression", 2009.
105. St. Karagiannis and N. Benos, "The Role of Human Capital in Economic Growth: Evidence from Greek Regions", 2009.
104. E. Tsouma, "A Coincident Economic Indicator of Economic Activity in Greece", 2009.
103. E. Athanassiou, "Fiscal Policy and the Recession: The Case of Greece", 2009. Published in *Intereconomics: Review of European Economic Policy*, vol. 44 (6), 2009, pp. 364-372.
102. St. Karagiannis. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, "Modeling Banks' Lending Behavior in a Capital Regulated Framework", 2009. Published in *Metroeconomica*, vol. 63 (2), 2012, pp. 385-416.
101. Th. Tsekeris, "Public Expenditure Competition in the Greek Transport Sector: Inter-modal and Spatial Considerations", 2009. Published in *Environment and Planning A*, vol. 43 (8), 2011, pp. 1981-1998.
100. N. Georgikopoulos and C. Leon, "Stochastic Shocks of the European and the Greek Economic Fluctuations", 2009.

99. P.-I. Prodromídis, “Deriving Labor Market Areas in Greece from Computing Flows”, 2008. Published under the title “Identifying Spatial Labor Markets in Greece from the 2001 Travel-to-Work Patterns” in *South Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, vol. 8 (1), 2010, pp. 111-128.
98. Y. Panagopoulos and P. Vlamis, “Bank Lending, Real Estate Bubbles and Basel II”, 2008. Published in *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, vol. 17 (2), 2009, pp. 295-310.
97. Y. Panagopoulos, “Basel II and the Money Supply Process: Some Empirical Evidence from the Greek Banking System (1995-2006)”, 2007. Published in *Applied Economics Letters*, vol. 17 (10), 2010, pp. 973-976.
96. N. Benos and St. Karagiannis, “Growth Empirics: Evidence from Greek Regions”, 2007.
95. N. Benos and St. Karagiannis, “Convergence and Economic Performance in Greece: New Evidence at Regional and Prefecture Level”, 2007.
94. Th. Tsekeris, “Consumer Demand Analysis of Complementarities and Substitutions in the Greek Passenger Transport Market”, 2007. Published in *International Journal of Transport Economics*, vol. 35 (3), 2008, pp. 415-449.
93. Y. Panagopoulos, I. Reziti and A. Spiliotis, “Monetary and Banking Policy Transmission Through Interest Rates: An Empirical Application to the USA, Canada, U.K. and European Union”, 2007. Published in *International Review of Applied Economics*, vol. 24 (2), 2010, pp. 119-136.
92. W. Kafouros and N. Vagionis, “Greek Foreign Trade with Five Balkan States During the Transition Period 1993-2000: Opportunities Exploited and Missed”, 2007.
91. St. Karagiannis, “The Knowledge-Based Economy, Convergence and Economic Growth: Evidence from the European Union”, 2007.
90. Y. Panagopoulos, “Some Further Evidence upon Testing Hysteresis in the Greek Phillips-type Aggregate Wage Equation”, 2007.
89. N. Benos, “Education Policy, Growth and Welfare”, 2007.
88. P. Baltzakis, “Privatization and Deregulation”, 2006 (in Greek).
87. Y. Panagopoulos and I. Reziti, “The Price Transmission Mechanism in the Greek Food Market: An empirical Approach”, 2006. Published under the title “Asymmetric Price Transmission in the Greek Agri-Food Sector: Some Tests” in *Agribusiness*, vol. 24 (1), 2008, pp. 16-30.
86. P.-I. Prodromídis, “Functional Economies or Administrative Units in Greece: What Difference Does It Make for Policy?”, 2006. Published in *Review of Urban & Regional Development Studies*, vol. 18 (2), 2006, pp. 144-164.

85. P.-I. Prodromidis, “Another View on an Old Inflation: Environment and Policies in the Roman Empire up to Diocletian’s Price Edict”, 2006. Published under the title “Economic Environment, Policies and Inflation in the Roman Empire up to Diocletian’s Price Edict” in *Journal of European Economic History*, vol. 38 (3), 2009, pp. 567-605.
84. E. Athanassiou, “Prospects of Household Borrowing in Greece and their Importance for Growth”, 2006. Published in *South-Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, vol. 5, 2007, pp. 63-75.
83. G. C. Kostelenos, “La Banque Nationale de Grèce et ses Statistiques Monétaires (1841-1940)”, 2006. Published in *Mesurer la monnaie. Banques centrales et construction de l’autorité monétaire (XIX<sup>e</sup>-XX<sup>e</sup> siècle)*, Paris: Edition Albin Michel, 2005, pp. 69-86.
82. P. Baltzakis, “The Need for Industrial Policy and its Modern Form”, 2006 (in Greek).
81. St. Karagiannis, “A Study of the Diachronic Evolution of the EU’s Structural Indicators Using Factorial Analysis”, 2006.
80. I. Resiti, “An Investigation into the Relationship between Producer, Wholesale and Retail Prices of Greek Agricultural Products”, 2005.
79. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “An Empirical Approach to the Greek Money Supply”, 2005.
78. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Testing Alternative Money Theories: A G7 Application”, 2005. Published in *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, vol. 30 (4), 2008, pp. 601-622.
77. I. A. Venetis and E. Emmanuilidi, “The Fatness in Equity Returns. The Case of Athens Stock Exchange”, 2005.
76. I. A. Venetis, I. Paya and D. A. Peel, “Do Real Exchange Rates “Mean Revert” to productivity? A Nonlinear Approach”, 2005. Published in *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 65 (4), 2003, pp. 421-437.
75. C. N. Kanellopoulos, “Tax Evasion in Corporate Firms: Estimatees from the Listed Firms in Athens Stock Exchange in 1990s ”, 2002 (in Greek).
74. N. Glytsos, “Dynamic Effects of Migrant Remittances on Growth: An Econometric Model with an Application to Mediterranean Countries”, 2002. Published under the title “The Contribution of Remittances to Growth: A Dynamic Approach and Empirical Analysis” in *Journal of Economic Studies*, vol, 32 (6), 2005, pp. 468-496.
73. N. Glytsos, “A model of Remittance Determination Applied to Middle East and North Africa Countries”, 2002.
72. Th. Simos, “Forecasting Quarterly GDP Using a System of Stochastic Differential Equations”, 2002.

71. C. N. Kanellopoulos and K. G. Mavromaras, "Male-Female Labour Market Participation and Wage Differentials in Greece", 2000. Published in *Labour*, vol. 16 (4), 2002, pp. 771-801.
70. St. Balfoussias and R. De Santis, "The Economic Impact of the Cap Reform on the Greek Economy: Quantifying the Effects of Inflexible Agricultural Structures", 1999.
69. M. Karamessini and O. Kaminioti, "Labour Market Segmentation in Greece: Historical Perspective and Recent Trends", 1999.
68. S. Djajic, S. Lahiri and P. Raimondos-Moller, "Logic of Aid in an Intertemporal Setting", 1997.
67. St. Makrydakis, "Sources of Macroeconomic Fluctuations in the Newly Industrialized Economies: A Common Trends Approach", 1997. Published in *Asian Economic Journal*, vol. 11 (4), 1997, pp. 361-383.
66. G. Petrakos and N. Christodoulakis, "Economic Development in the Balkan Countries and the Role of Greece: From Bilateral Relations to the Challenge of Integration", 1997.
65. C. Kanellopoulos, "Pay Structure in Greece 1974-1994", 1997.
64. M. Chletsos, Chr. Kollias and G. Manolas, "Structural Economic Changes and their Impact on the Relationship Between Wages, Productivity and Labour Demand in Greece", 1997.
63. M. Chletsos, "Changes in Social Policy-social Insurance, Restructuring the Labour Market and the Role of the State in Greece in the Period of European Integration", 1997.
62. M. Chletsos, "Government Spending and Growth in Greece 1958-1993: Some Preliminary Empirical Results", 1997.
61. M. Karamessini, "Labour Flexibility and Segmentation of the Greek Labour Market in the Eighties: Sectoral Analysis and Typology", 1997.
60. Chr. Kollias and St. Makrydakis, "Is there a Greek-Turkish Arms Race? Evidence from Cointegration and Causality Tests", 1997. Published in *Defence and Peace Economics*, vol. 8, 1997, pp. 355-379.
59. St. Makrydakis, "Testing the Intertemporal Approach to Current Account Determination: Evidence from Greece", 1996. Published in *Empirical Economics*, vol. 24 (2), 1999, pp. 183-209.
58. Chr. Kollias and St. Makrydakis, "The Causal Relationship Between Tax Revenues and Government Spending in Greece: 1950-1990", 1996. Published in *The Cyprus Journal of Economics*, vol. 8 (2), 1995, pp. 120-135.
57. Chr. Kollias and A. Refenes, "Modeling the Effects of Defence Spending Reductions on Investment Using Neural Networks in the Case of Greece", 1996.

56. Th. Katsanevas, "The Evolution of Employment and Industrial Relations in Greece (from the Decade of 1970 up to the Present)", 1996 (in Greek).
55. D. Dogas, "Thoughts on the Appropriate Stabilization and Development Policy and the Role of the Bank of Greece in the Context of the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)", 1996 (in Greek).
54. N. Glytsos, "Demographic Changes, Retirement, Job Creation and Labour Shortages in Greece: An Occupational and Regional Outlook", 1996. Published in *Journal of Economic Studies*, vol. 26 (2-3), 1999, pp. 130-158.
53. N. Glytsos, "Remitting Behavior of "Temporary" and "Permanent" Migrants: The Case of Greeks in Germany and Australia", 1996. Published in *Labour*, vol. 11 (3), 1997, pp. 409-435.
52. V. Stavrinou and V. Droucopoulos, "Output Expectations, Productivity Trends and Employment: The Case of Greek Manufacturing", 1996. Published in *European Research Studies*, vol. 1, (2), 1998, pp. 93-122.
51. A. Balfoussias and V. Stavrinou, "The Greek Military Sector and Macroeconomic Effects of Military Spending in Greece", 1996. Published in N. P. Gleditsch, O. Bjerkholt, A. Cappelen, R. P. Smith and J. P. Dunne (eds.), *In the Peace Dividend*, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1996, pp. 191-214.
50. J. Henley, "Restructuring Large Scale State Enterprises in the Republics of Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic and Uzbekistan: The Challenge for Technical Assistance", 1995.
49. C. Kanellopoulos and G. Psacharopoulos, "Private Education Expenditure in a "Free Education" Country: The Case of Greece", 1995. Published in *International Journal of Educational Development*, vol. 17 (1), 1997, pp. 73-81.
48. G. Kouretas and L. Zarangas, "A Cointegration Analysis of the Official and Parallel Foreign Exchange Markets for Dollars in Greece", 1995. Published in *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, vol. 3, 1998, pp. 261-276.
47. St. Makrydakis, E. Tzavalis and A. Balfoussias, "Policy Regime Changes and the Long-Run Sustainability of Fiscal Policy: An Application to Greece", 1995. Published in *Economic Modelling*, vol. 16 (1), 1999, 71-86.
46. N. Christodoulakis and S. Kalyvitis, "Likely Effects of CSF 1994-1999 on the Greek Economy: An ex ante Assessment Using an Annual Four-Sector Macroeconometric Model", 1995.
45. St. Thomadakis and V. Droucopoulos, "Dynamic Effects in Greek Manufacturing: The Changing Shares of SMEs, 1983-1990", 1995. Published in *Review of Industrial Organization*, vol. 11 (1), 1996, pp. 69-78.
44. P. Mourdoukoutas, "Japanese Investment in Greece", 1995 (in Greek).

43. V. Rapanos, "Economies of Scale and the Incidence of the Minimum Wage in the Less Developed Countries", 1995. Published under the title "Minimum Wage and Income Distribution in the Harris-Todaro Model" in *Journal of Economic Development*, vol. 30 (1), 2005, pp. 1-14.
42. V. Rapanos, "Trade Unions and the Incidence of the Corporation Income Tax", 1995.
41. St. Balfoussias, "Cost and Productivity in Electricity Generation in Greece", 1995.
40. V. Rapanos, "The Effects of Environmental Taxes on Income Distribution", 1995. Published in *European Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 11 (3), 1995, pp. 487-501.
39. V. Rapanos, "Technical Change in a Model with Fair Wages and Unemployment", 1995. Published in *International Economic Journal*, vol. 10 (4), 1996, pp. 99-121.
38. M. Panopoulou, "Greek Merchant Navy, Technological Change and Domestic Shipbuilding Industry from 1850 to 1914", 1995. Published in *The Journal of Transport History*, vol. 16 (2), 1995, pp. 159-178.
37. C. Vergopoulos, "Public Debt and its Effects", 1994 (in Greek).
36. C. Kanellopoulos, "Public-Private Wage Differentials in Greece", 1994. Published in *Applied Economics*, vol. 29, 1997, pp. 1023-1032.
35. Z. Georganta, K. Kotsis and Emm. Kounaris, "Measurement of Total Factor Productivity in the Manufacturing Sector of Greece, 1980-1991", 1994.
34. E. Petrakis and A. Xepapadeas, "Environmental Consciousness and Moral Hazard in International Agreements to Protect the Environment", 1994. Published in *Journal Public Economics*, vol. 60, 1996, pp. 95-110.
33. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "The Quality Strategy: A Viable Alternative for Small Mediterranean Agricultures", 1994.
32. Z. Georganta, "Measurement Errors and the Indirect Effects of R & D on Productivity Growth: The U.S. Manufacturing Sector", 1993.
31. P. Paraskevaïdis, "The Economic Function of Agricultural Cooperative Firms", 1993 (in Greek).
30. Z. Georganta, "Technical (In) Efficiency in the U.S. Manufacturing Sector, 1977-1982", 1993.
29. H. Dellas, "Stabilization Policy and Long Term Growth: Are they Related?", 1993.
28. Z. Georganta, "Accession in the EC and its Effect on Total Factor Productivity Growth of Greek Agriculture", 1993.
27. H. Dellas, "Recessions and Ability Discrimination", 1993.



26. Z. Georganta, "The Effect of a Free Market Price Mechanism on Total Factor Productivity: The Case of the Agricultural Crop Industry in Greece", 1993. Published in *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 52, 1997, pp. 55-71.
25. A. Gana, Th. Zervou and A. Kotsi, "Poverty in the Regional of Greece in the Late 80s", 1993 (in Greek).
24. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Income Inequalities and Regional Distribution of the Labour Force Age Group 20-29", 1993 (in Greek).
23. C. Eberwein and Tr. Kollintzas, "A Dynamic Model of Bargaining in a Unionized Firm with Irreversible Investment", 1993. Published in *Annales d' Economie et de Statistique*, vol. 37/38, 1995, pp. 91-115.
22. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Evaluation of Regional Development Plans in the East Macedonia-Thrace's and Crete's Agricultural Sector", 1993 (in Greek).
21. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Regional Typology of Farms", 1993 (in Greek).
20. St. Balfoussias, "Demand for Electric Energy in the Presence of a Two-block Declining Price Schedule", 1993.
19. St. Balfoussias, "Ordering Equilibria by Output or Technology in a Non-linear Pricing Context", 1993.
18. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "Rural Problems and Policy in Greece", 1993.
17. Cl. Efstratoglou, "Export Trading Companies: International Experience and the Case of Greece", 1992 (in Greek).
16. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Effective Protection, Domestic Resource Cost and Capital Structure of the Cattle Breeding Industry", 1992 (in Greek).
15. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "Reforming Common Agricultural Policy and Prospects for Greece", 1992 (in Greek).
14. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "Elaboration Principle/Evaluation Criteria for Regional Programmes", 1992 (in Greek).
13. G. Agapitos and P. Koutsouvelis, "The VAT Harmonization within EEC: Single Market and its Impacts on Greece's Private Consumption and Vat Revenue", 1992.
12. C. Kanellopoulos, "Incomes and Poverty of the Greek Elderly", 1992.
11. D. Maroulis, "Economic Analysis of the Macroeconomic Policy of Greece during the Period 1960-1990", 1992 (in Greek).
10. V. Rapanos, "Joint Production and Taxation", 1992. Published in *Public Finance/Finances Publiques*, vol. 48 (3), 1993, pp. 422-429.

9. V. Rapanos, "Technological Progress, Income Distribution, and Unemployment in the Less Developed Countries", 1992. Published in *Greek Economic Review*, 14 (2), 1992, pp. 179-192.
8. N. Christodoulakis, "Certain Macroeconomic Consequences of the European Integration", 1992 (in Greek).
7. L. Athanassiou, "Distribution Output Prices and Expenditure", 1992.
6. J. Geanakoplos and H. Polemarchakis, "Observability and Constrained Optima", 1992.
5. N. Antonakis and D. Karavidas, "Defense Expenditure and Growth in LDCs: The Case of Greece, 1950-1985", 1990.
4. C. Kanellopoulos, "The Underground Economy in Greece: What Official Data Show", (in Greek 1990 - in English 1992). Published in *Greek Economic Review*, vol. 14 (2), 1992, pp. 215-236.
3. J. Dutta and H. Polemarchakis, "Credit Constraints and Investment Finance: Evidence from Greece", 1990. Published in M. Monti (ed.), *Fiscal Policy, Economic Adjustment and Financial Markets*, International Monetary Fund, 1989.
2. L. Athanassiou, "Adjustments to the Gini Coefficient for Measuring Economic Inequality", 1990.
1. G. Alogoskoufis, "Competitiveness, Wage Rate Adjustment and Macroeconomic Policy in Greece", 1990 (in Greek). Published in *Applied Economics*, vol. 29, 1997, pp. 1023-1032.