

CENTRE OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH

**DISCUSSION PAPERS**

**No 149**

**New Evidence on the Export-led-growth  
Hypothesis in the Southern  
Euro-zone Countries**

Ioanna Konstantakopoulou

February 2016

**Ioanna Konstantakopoulou**

Centre of Planning and Economic Research, Athens, Greece

e-mail: [ik@kepe.gr](mailto:ik@kepe.gr), [joannapk@aueb.gr](mailto:joannapk@aueb.gr), Tel.: +30 210 3676406.

**New Evidence on the Export-led-growth  
Hypothesis in the Southern  
Euro-zone Countries**

Copyright 2016  
by Centre of Planning and Economic Research  
11 Amerikis Street, 106 72 Athens, Greece

[www.kepe.gr](http://www.kepe.gr)

Opinions or value judgments expressed in this paper  
are those of the authors and do not necessarily  
represent those of the Centre of Planning  
and Economic Research

## *CENTRE OF PLANNING AND ECONOMIC RESEARCH*

The Centre was initially established as a research unit, under the title “Centre of Economic Research”, in 1959. Its primary aims were the scientific study of the problems of the Greek economy, the encouragement of economic research and cooperation with other scientific institutions.

In 1964, the Centre acquired its present name and organizational structure, with the following additional objectives: first, the preparation of short, medium and long-term development plans, including plans for local and regional development as well as public investment plans, in accordance with guidelines laid down by the Government; second, the analysis of current developments in the Greek economy along with appropriate short and medium-term forecasts, the formulation of proposals for stabilization and development policies; and, third, the additional education of young economists, particularly in the fields of planning and economic development.

Today, KEPE is the largest economics research institute in Greece, focuses on applied research projects concerning the Greek economy and provides technical advice to the Greek government and the country’s regional authorities on economic and social policy issues.

In the context of these activities, KEPE has issued more than 650 publications since its inception, and currently produces several series of publications, notably the *Studies*, which are research monographs; *Reports* on applied economic issues concerning sectoral and regional problems; *Discussion Papers* that relate to ongoing research projects; *Research Collaborations*, which are research projects prepared in cooperation with other institutes; *Special Issues*; a four-monthly review entitled *Greek Economic Outlook*, which focus on issues of current economic interest for Greece.

The Centre is in continuous contact with scientific institutions of a similar nature situated outside Greece by exchanging publications, views and information on current economic topics and methods of economic research, thus furthering the advancement of economics in the country.

# Εμπειρικά Δεδομένα για την Σχέση Εξαγωγών και Οικονομικής Ανάπτυξης στις Χώρες του Νότου της Ευρωζώνης

της

**Ιωάννας Κωνσταντακοπούλου**

## Περίληψη

Αυτό το άρθρο εξετάζει την σχέση μεταξύ οικονομικής ανάπτυξης και εξαγωγών στις χώρες του Νότου της Ευρωζώνης. Χρησιμοποιούμε την μέθοδο ARDL bounds των Pesaran et al. (2001), για τον έλεγχο της ύπαρξης μακροχρόνιας σχέσης ισορροπίας των μεταβλητών και την εκτίμηση των μακροχρόνιων συντελεστών ισορροπίας. Η μέθοδος αυτή μπορεί να εφαρμοστεί ανεξάρτητα από τον βαθμό ολοκλήρωσης των χρονολογικών σειρών. Σε ένα επόμενο στάδιο, ελέγχουμε την σχέση αιτιότητας των μεταβλητών μέσω του ελέγχου αιτιότητας κατά Granger και της μεθόδου που πρότειναν οι Toda and Yamamoto (1995) χρησιμοποιώντας Διανυσματικά Αυτοπαλίνδρομα υποδείγματα [Vector Autoregression (VAR) model] τριών μεταβλητών. Τα στατιστικά δεδομένα είναι ετήσια και καλύπτουν την χρονική περίοδο 1960 έως και 2014. Η ύπαρξη μιας θετικής μακροχρόνιας σχέσης ισορροπίας μεταξύ αυτών των μεταβλητών, θεωρείται σημαντικό αποτέλεσμα διότι σημαίνει ότι οι οικονομίες αυτές μέσω αύξησης των εξαγωγών, θα μπορέσουν να βελτιώσουν τους ρυθμούς οικονομικής μεγέθυνσης, και να ξεπεράσουν την πρόσφατη οικονομική ύφεση. Συνεπώς, εφαρμόζοντας οι χώρες του δείγματός μας, πολιτικές προανατολισμένες στην προώθηση και ενίσχυση των εξαγωγών, θα μπορέσουν να επιτύχουν θετικούς ρυθμούς μεγέθυνσης. Τα εμπειρικά αποτελέσματα δείχνουν, την ύπαρξη μακροχρόνιας σχέσης ισορροπίας μεταξύ των μεταβλητών για την Πορτογαλία, την Ισπανία και την Ελλάδα. Επιπλέον, τα αποτελέσματα υποστηρίζουν την ύπαρξη μιας θετικής σχέσης μεταξύ των μεταβλητών για όλες τις προαναφερθείσες χώρες. Όσο αφορά, την κατεύθυνση της σχέσης αιτιότητας μεταξύ εξαγωγών και οικονομικής ανάπτυξης, τα εμπειρικά ευρήματα δείχνουν ότι υπάρχει μια αμφίδρομη σχέση αιτιότητα στην περίπτωση της Ισπανία και της Ελλάδας. Ενώ, στην περίπτωση της Πορτογαλίας η κατεύθυνση της αιτιότητας είναι από τις εξαγωγές προς την οικονομική ανάπτυξη. Ενώ, δεν ανιχνεύεται σχέση αιτιότητα για την Ιταλία.

# **New evidence on the Export-led-growth hypothesis in the Southern Euro-zone countries**

**by**

**Ioanna Konstantakopoulou<sup>1</sup>**

## **Abstract**

This paper implements the bound-testing approach proposed by Pesaran, Shin, and Smith (2001) to investigate the static and dynamic relationship between exports and economic growth in the Southern Euro-zone countries. Moreover, the causal link between these variables is also tested by the Granger no-causality procedure that has been developed by Toda and Yamamoto (1995) using a three-variable vector autoregression (VAR) model. The data span for the study is from 1960 to 2014. The results suggest the existence of positive long-run equilibrium relations in Portugal, Spain, and Greece. Furthermore, the findings indicate that bidirectional Granger causality is predominant in Spain and Greece. Unidirectional causality from exports to economic growth is found for Portugal. No-causality relation is detected for Italy.

Keywords: ARDL bounds test, Toda-Yamamoto approach, causality, exports, economic growth

JEL Classification: C22; E0; F43

---

<sup>1</sup> Centre of Planning and Economic Research, 11 Amerikis Street, 106 72 Athens, Greece  
E-mail addresses: [joannapk@aueb.gr](mailto:joannapk@aueb.gr), [ik@kepe.gr](mailto:ik@kepe.gr), Tel.: +30 210 3676406.

## 1. Introduction

The determinants of the economic growth have been a key issue of economic research. The identification of exports as a stimulated variable of economic performance has also long been investigated. Among the first studies to demonstrate the positive relationship between exports and economic performance were those of Blumenthal (1972), Michalopoulos and Jay (1973), Michaely (1977), Balassa (1978), and Heller and Porter (1978). They had applied regression and correlation analysis on developing countries<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, similar empirical works have been conducted by Tyler (1981), Feder (1982), Kavoussi (1984), Balassa (1985), Ram (1985, 1987), and Sheehey (1992), who based them on production function models.

The establishment of the causal pattern between exports and economic growth was instigated by Jung and Marshall (1985), Chow (1987), Kwan and Cotsomititis (1991), Bahmani-Oskooee et al. (1991), Ahmed and Kwan (1991), and Dodaro (1993). This wave of empirical literature was able to investigate the direction of the causal relation between exports and economic growth, in each country separately. Their results, based on Granger's (1967) and Sims' (1972) causality tests, were controversial.

By the beginning of 1990s, there was a considerable number of papers on the Export-led growth (ELG) hypothesis<sup>3</sup> in developing countries. However, very few empirical studies were applied to test this hypothesis for developed countries. The launch of interest for the investigation of the ELG hypothesis on developed country is mainly due to the work of Kunst and Marin (1989), and Marin (1992). They engaged in an attempt to test the causal relationship between exports and productivity in developed countries.

The extent of this empirical channel was enhanced with cointegration analysis. More specifically, apart from causality tests, Afxediou and Serletis (1991), Sharma, Norris, and Cheung (1991), Marin (1992), Serletis (1992), Henriques and Sadosky

---

<sup>2</sup> Michaely (1977) used less developed and developing countries as a sample of his analysis.

<sup>3</sup> The hypothesis that export growth causes economic growth is called the export-led growth hypothesis.

(1996), Reizman et al. (1996), Thornton (1997), Ramos (2001), Balagued and Cantavella-Jorda (2004), Konya (2006), Jun (2007), and Pistorresi and Rinaldi (2012), who had also conducted cointegration tests to identify the existence of long-run relationships between the variables of interest. Meanwhile, new causality methods [Toda and Yamamoto (1995), and Dolado and Lutkepohl (1996)] were also applied by Yamada (1998), Shan and Sun (1998, 1999), and Awokuse (2003).

This paper investigates the long-run relation between exports and economic growth using the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) approach recommended by Pesaran, Shin, and Smith (2001) and examines the short-run relation using error-correction models. This procedure has been applied in Mah (2005) and Tang (2006) for China, and Hye et al. (2013) for six South Asian countries. In order to test the direction of the causal relations between the examined variables, we have also applied the Granger no-causality of the Toda and Yamamoto (1995) approach (hereafter TY). This methodology has been used in several studies, such as those by Awokuse (2005) for South Korea, Tang (2013) for Malaysia, Shan and Sun (1998) for Australia, Akokuse (2003) for Canada; however, for the countries of the sample, it has only been used by Yamada (1998) for Italy.

Our study is different from others in one crucial point: We investigate the static and dynamic relationship between exports and economic growth in the Southern Euro-zone countries. These countries have a common monetary policy, bilateral free trade, and similar financial structures. The main stylized fact of the examined countries is the current account deficits, which have been widening since the mid-1990s, except for the case of Italy, which presents current account deficit only in the mid-2010s. Since 2008 Italy and Greece and one year later Spain and Portugal are experienced by negative growth rates, therefore it is imperative to lead these countries to economic recovery through increasing the exports. Given that the exports in relation of GDP increased over the period of sample in each country: from 19,8% (1990-99) to 25,7% (2000-14) in Italy, 18,3% to 26,2% in Spain, 21,1% to 27,5% in Portugal and 13,9% to 20,9% in Greece, respectively. It becomes clear useful to investigate the relationship between exports and economic growth using as sample



these countries. Therefore, this methodology has not been applied to such countries or the sample period that includes the recent crisis. It is therefore of interest to policy making about the promotion of export policies and the understanding of their recent sub-prime crisis.

In terms of empirical methodology, our paper adds value because we use the ARDL procedure, which can to reveal the long and short run relations between variables. This approach permits us to examine the ELG hypothesis, even in the presence of outliers and structural break in the time series. More specifically, this methods give the opportunity using dummy variables (with values zero and one) to capture the effects of outliers and structural break, and with this way to overcome most of shortcomings of alternative methods.

We use annual data supplied by the World Development Indicators of the World Bank for the period 1960-2014 for the following countries: Italy (IT), Spain (ES), Greece (GR) and Portugal (PT). The variables used in the analysis are real output, real exports of goods and services, and real imports of goods and services. All variables are in natural logarithms.

The paper is structured as follows: In the next section, we present the empirical literature and theoretical framework of the relationship between exports and economic growth. Section 3 we develop the empirical methodology. Finally, in Section 4 we present the results of our empirical analysis and in Section 5 we summarize our findings.

## **2. Review of Empirical Literature and Theoretical Framework**

An extensive number of empirical studies have been conducted to investigate the relationship between exports and economic growth, including in the sample the southern countries of the Eurozone. The results of these studies are more conflicting. More specifically, Sharma et al. (1991) found no-causality relationship between exports and economic growth in Italy. Thornton (1997) concluded that, in Italy, exports lead to economic growth. Yamada (1998) suggests the existence of causality

from exports to labour productivity only for Italy, using the TY causality approach. He based his analysis on a four-variable (real exports of goods and services, labour productivity, terms of trade, and real GDP of the OECD countries) VAR model. Ramos (2001) found a two-way relationship between exports and output using data for the Portuguese economy based on a trivariate model (exports, output, and imports). Balaguer and Cantavella-Jorda (2004) argued in favour the existence of a bidirectional relationship between exports and economic growth in the Spanish economy. Konya (2006) found evidence in favour of the validity of the ELG hypothesis in Italy and Spain, one-way causality from GDP to exports in Greece and Portugal, conducting Granger causality tests on a bivariate (GDP-exports) and trivariate (GDP-exports-openness) VAR models. Awokuse and Christopoulos (2009) have confirmed the validity of the ELG hypothesis using a nonlinear Granger causality test in Italy. Pistoresi and Rinaldi (2012) analysed the relationship between exports, imports and economic growth over time for Italy. Their results varied, depending on the selected sub-period of their sample. The sub-period in which they observed a weak support of ELG and GLI is the post-WWII period.

The theoretical arguments that support the beneficial effects of exports on economic growth works as follows: exports expansion- (i) generates efficient resource allocation (Bhagwati and Srinivasan, 1979) in this way emerge comparative advantage of each country, (ii) create greater capacity utilization, (iii) lead to technological innovation in the effort to meet the international competition and to maintain these comparative advantages, (iv) permit to exploitation economies of scale by specializing in production especially if the country to which we refer is small and can not benefit from the size (Helpman and Krugman, 1985, Rivera-Batiz and Romer, 1991, and Romer, 1990), (v) cause technology spillover from the export sector to non-export sector, (vi) finance imports in an economy intermediate goods, especially if the economy dependent on them and does not have its own resources to finance imports - these factors lead to economic growth.

### 3. Empirical Analysis

#### 3.1 Bounds tests

We use the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) bounds procedure to test for the existence of a long-run relationship and dynamic interactions among variables of interest irrespective of whether these are  $I(1)$  or  $I(0)$ . Their approach is essentially to estimate a dynamic error correction representation for the variables involved and then test whether or not the lagged levels of the variables are significant. In other words, Pesaran et al. (2001)'s test consists of estimating the following conditional error correction models (ECM):

$$\Delta y_t = \alpha_{10} + \gamma_1 y_{t-1} + \delta_{11} ex_{t-1} + \delta_{12} im_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_{1i} \Delta y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=0}^q \omega_{1j} \Delta ex_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^q \phi_{1j} \Delta im_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta ex_t = \alpha_{20} + \gamma_2 ex_{t-1} + \delta_{21} y_{t-1} + \delta_{22} im_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_{2i} \Delta ex_{t-i} + \sum_{j=0}^q \omega_{2j} \Delta y_{t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^q \phi_{2j} \Delta im_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

where  $y_t$  is the real output and  $ex_t$  is the real exports and  $im_t$  is real imports, and  $m$  ( $q$ ) is the number of lags of the dependent (independent) variable.

The procedure is an F-test for the joint significance of the coefficients of the lagged variables levels in (1) and (2) (so that  $H_0: \gamma_i = \delta_{i1} = \delta_{i2} = 0$ , for each  $i=1, 2$ ). Two asymptotic critical value bounds provide a test for cointegration when the independent variables are  $I(d)$  (where  $0 \leq d \leq 1$ ): a lower value assuming the regressors are  $I(0)$ , and an upper value assuming purely  $I(1)$  regressors. If the test statistics exceed their upper critical values in each case, we can reject the null hypothesis ("no long-run relationship"), namely that there is no long-run relationship. If the test statistics fall below the lower critical values, the null hypothesis should be accepted. If the statistics lie within their bounds in each case, no firm conclusion can be drawn. Finally, for each model, we used dummy variables ("one zero") in order to detrended the variables and ensure normal distribution of residuals. The optimal lag length of the selected ARDL model based on the Schwartz Bayesian Criterion (SBC).

The estimated long run parameters of the variables are obtained by the unrestrained ADL model:

$$y_t = \eta_{10} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{1i} y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^k \psi_{1i} ex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{1i} im_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t, \quad (3)$$

$$ex_t = \eta_{20} + \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_{2i} ex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^k \psi_{2i} y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^k \xi_{2i} im_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t \quad (4)$$

$$\eta_{m0}^* = \frac{n_{m0}}{1 - \beta_{m1} - \beta_{m2} - \dots - \beta_{mp}}, \quad \psi_{mi}^* = \frac{\psi_{m0} + \psi_{m1} + \dots + \psi_{mk}}{1 - \beta_{m1} - \beta_{m2} - \dots - \beta_{mp}}, \quad \xi_{mi}^* = \frac{\xi_{m0} + \xi_{m1} + \dots + \xi_{mk}}{1 - \beta_{m1} - \beta_{m2} - \dots - \beta_{mp}},$$

for  $m = 1, 2$  (5)

where  $\varepsilon_t \sim IID(0, \sigma^2)$ , for each  $m = 1, 2$  and,  $\eta^*$ ,  $\psi^*$ , and  $\xi^*$  are the long run parameters.

Finally, we calculate the dynamic parameters by estimating an error-correction model:

$$\Delta y_t = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_{1i} \Delta y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \gamma_{1i} \Delta ex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \delta_{1i} \Delta im_{t-i} + \eta_1 (y_{t-1} - \mu_{10} - \mu_{11} ex_{t-1} - \mu_{12} im_{t-1}) \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta ex_t = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_{2i} \Delta ex_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \gamma_{2i} \Delta y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^q \delta_{2i} \Delta im_{t-i} + \eta_2 (ex_{t-1} - \mu_{20} - \mu_{21} y_{t-1} - \mu_{22} im_{t-1}) \quad (7)$$

where  $\mu_{ij}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2$  are the short-run dynamic coefficients of the model's convergence to equilibrium and  $\eta_i$  is the speed of adjustment. The error-correction models can be reveal the causal relationships between the examined variables.

### 3.3 The Toda-Yamamoto approach

We conduct Granger causality tests using the method proposed by Toda and Yamamoto (1995) to detect the direction of causality between real output and real export. Implementing the TY procedure, we constructed a three-variable VAR model containing the variables real output, real exports, and real imports. We can augment the lag order of the VAR( $k$ ) model (where  $k$  is the lag length of the system) by  $d$  extra lags, where  $d$  is the maximum order of integration of the variables, and Wald type

restrictions (linear or nonlinear) can be imposed only on the first  $k$  coefficient matrices, and the test statistics will have standard asymptotic distributions.

Therefore, it is necessary initially to test the order of integration ( $d$ ) of the time series using several unit roots tests and to then select the optimal lag length ( $k$ ) according to several criteria. Of these criteria, we lay greatest emphasis on the LM statistic, which controls the residual autocorrelation. The positive elements of this TY approach are that we can control for the causality between variables, irrespective of whether the variables of the system are cointegrated or not (Zapata and Rambaldi, 1997).

To apply TY version of the Granger non-causality test, we use the following VAR system:

$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{1i} y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \alpha_{2j} y_{t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{1i} ex_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \beta_{2j} ex_{t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_{1i} im_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \gamma_{2j} im_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{1t} \quad (8)$$

$$ex_t = \varphi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_{1i} ex_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \varphi_{2j} ex_{t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_{1i} y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \xi_{2j} y_{t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta_{1i} im_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \zeta_{2j} im_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{2t} \quad (9)$$

$$im_t = \eta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_{1i} im_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \eta_{2j} im_{t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_{1i} ex_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \theta_{2j} ex_{t-j} + \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_{1i} y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=k+1}^{d \max} \omega_{2j} y_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{3t} \quad (10)$$

The validity of the ELG hypothesis can be proved through rejecting the null hypothesis of the Granger causality test ( $H_0 = \beta_{1i} = 0$  for  $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$ , "exports does not Granger-cause real output"). In the same way, Granger causality from real output to exports requires  $\xi_{1i} \neq 0$  for  $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$ .

## 4. Empirical findings

### 4.1 Unit root tests

Before testing whether the variables are cointegrated, we detected the nature of the underlying time-series properties using individual unit root tests, such as the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF, 1979) test, the Phillips and Perron (PP, 1988) test, the Kwiatkowski, Phillips, Schmidt, and Shin (KPSS, 1992) test, the GLS

transformed Dickey-Fuller (DF-GLS, Elliot, *et al.* 1996) test, the Point Optimal (ERS P.O., Elliot, *et al.* 1996) test, and the Ng and Perron (NP, 2001) unit root tests. The null hypothesis for the KPSS test is stationarity, while for the others tests, the null hypothesis is non-stationarity. Given that all time series are strongly trending we allow for a linear trend in all tests. The results for the unit root tests are reported in Table 1, for the series in levels and first differences. These results indicate that all series contain a unit root in levels [i.e., I (1)]. Unit root tests on the first differences of those series were found to be stationarity.

**Table 1: Unit root tests**

Level									
	ADF	PP	KPSS	DF-GLS	Ng-Perron				ERS P.O
					MZ <sub>a</sub>	MZ <sub>t</sub>	MSB	MPT	
<i>Real Exports</i>									
IT	-1.9982	-2.0202	0.2153 <sup>b</sup>	-0.7437	-0.6482	-0.3052	0.4709	50.5506	86.3931
ES	-1.7201	-1.4713	0.1585 <sup>c</sup>	-1.1731	-3.4500	-1.0706	0.3103	22.3243	38.3655
GR	-1.0246	-1.0752	0.2124 <sup>a</sup>	-0.9112	-2.8430	-0.9438	0.3319	25.3969	60.2073
PT	-3.0197	-2.5181	0.0760	-2.8389	-22.1893 <sup>b</sup>	-3.2946 <sup>b</sup>	0.1484 <sup>b</sup>	4.3256 <sup>b</sup>	4.6336 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Real GDP</i>									
IT	-0.7924	-0.6954	0.2516 <sup>a</sup>	-0.9715	-492.860 <sup>a</sup>	-15.661 <sup>a</sup>	0.0317 <sup>a</sup>	0.2499 <sup>a</sup>	268.0186
ES	-1.8237	-3.0183	0.1732 <sup>b</sup>	-0.8006	-5.459	-1.4941	0.2600	15.4950	57.6577
GR	-1.8333	-1.6827	0.1836 <sup>b</sup>	-1.0783	-52.703 <sup>a</sup>	-5.0385 <sup>a</sup>	0.0956 <sup>a</sup>	2.1866 <sup>a</sup>	34.6843
PT	-0.7561	-0.5189	0.2271 <sup>a</sup>	-0.5091	-3.4784	-1.0014	0.2878	21.1286	182.8326
<i>Real imports</i>									
IT	-2.0335	-1.9238	0.1895 <sup>b</sup>	-1.1405	-2.5138	-0.7807	0.3105	24.907	38.2907
ES	-1.6981	-3.1362	0.0811	-1.1238	-2.9556	-0.9393	0.3178	24.1409	32.0435
GR	-0.0806	-0.3109	0.1765 <sup>b</sup>	-0.7286	-5.2061	-1.1386	0.2187	15.8584	47.7656
PT	-1.6972	-1.8321	0.0764	-1.5826	-5.5385	-1.3817	0.2494	15.7614	18.5714

	First differences								
	ADF	PP	KPSS	DF-GLS	Ng-Perron			ERS	
					MZ <sub>a</sub>	MZ <sub>t</sub>	MSB		MPT
<i>Real Exports</i>									
IT	-6.9530 <sup>a</sup>	-7.1522 <sup>a</sup>	0.0992	-6.8895 <sup>a</sup>	-25.4058 <sup>a</sup>	-3.5610 <sup>a</sup>	0.1401 <sup>a</sup>	3.6052 <sup>a</sup>	3.6882 <sup>a</sup>
ES	-6.6560 <sup>a</sup>	-6.6687 <sup>a</sup>	0.0646	-6.6952 <sup>a</sup>	-25.3828 <sup>a</sup>	-3.5588 <sup>a</sup>	0.1402 <sup>a</sup>	3.6116 <sup>a</sup>	3.5859 <sup>a</sup>
GR	-6.1285 <sup>a</sup>	-6.0717 <sup>a</sup>	0.0605	-6.2419 <sup>a</sup>	-25.1094 <sup>a</sup>	-3.5411 <sup>a</sup>	0.1410 <sup>a</sup>	3.6415 <sup>a</sup>	3.5123 <sup>a</sup>
PT	-4.5479 <sup>a</sup>	-5.7688 <sup>a</sup>	0.0755	-4.4372 <sup>a</sup>	-23.9450 <sup>a</sup>	-3.4578 <sup>a</sup>	0.1444 <sup>b</sup>	3.8194 <sup>a</sup>	3.8014 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Real GDP</i>									
IT	-6.2811 <sup>a</sup>	-7.2127 <sup>a</sup>	0.0831	-6.3443 <sup>a</sup>	-25.0493 <sup>a</sup>	-3.5244 <sup>a</sup>	0.1407 <sup>a</sup>	3.7249 <sup>a</sup>	2.4466 <sup>a</sup>
ES	-3.6821 <sup>b</sup>	-3.5916 <sup>b</sup>	0.1336 <sup>c</sup>	-3.0160 <sup>c</sup>	-12.5301	-2.4970	0.1992	73.054	9.2174
GR	-4.5538 <sup>a</sup>	-4.7445 <sup>a</sup>	0.1077	-1.9843	-7.24951	-1.8342	0.2530	12.6884	4.9507 <sup>b</sup>
PT	-4.5209 <sup>a</sup>	-5.4628 <sup>a</sup>	0.0532	-4.4234 <sup>a</sup>	-218.34 <sup>a</sup>	-33.0363 <sup>a</sup>	0.0151 <sup>a</sup>	0.0453 <sup>a</sup>	0.0012 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Real imports</i>									
IT	-7.5042 <sup>a</sup>	-7.5085 <sup>a</sup>	0.0563	-7.3763 <sup>a</sup>	-25.4166 <sup>a</sup>	-3.5373 <sup>a</sup>	0.1391 <sup>a</sup>	3.7490 <sup>a</sup>	3.7973 <sup>a</sup>
ES	-3.9020 <sup>b</sup>	-4.8087 <sup>a</sup>	0.1157	-1.9192	-6.10492	-1.7426	0.2854	14.9228	0.1675 <sup>a</sup>
GR	-5.7236 <sup>a</sup>	-5.7352 <sup>a</sup>	0.1178	-5.7557 <sup>a</sup>	-24.6446 <sup>a</sup>	-3.4368 <sup>b</sup>	0.1394 <sup>a</sup>	4.1337 <sup>b</sup>	4.0500 <sup>a</sup>
PT	-6.7417 <sup>a</sup>	-6.7426 <sup>a</sup>	0.0825	-6.0303 <sup>a</sup>	-24.6208 <sup>a</sup>	-3.5003 <sup>a</sup>	0.1421 <sup>a</sup>	3.7508 <sup>a</sup>	4.4778 <sup>b</sup>

Notes: ADF, DF-GLS, MZ<sub>a</sub>, MZ<sub>t</sub>, MSB, MPT and ERS P.O tests: (a), (b), and (c) imply rejection of the unit root hypothesis at the 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance, respectively. KPSS tests: (a), (b), and (c) accept the null hypothesis at the 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance, respectively. The Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) is used to determine the number of lags for the ADF, DF-GLS, MZ<sub>a</sub>, MZ<sub>t</sub>, MSB, MPT and ERS P.O unit root tests. The PP and KPSS tests are based on the Bartlett kernel with bandwidth selected from the Newey-West method.

## 4.2 The short- and long run relations between real output and real exports

### 4.2.1 ARDL results

Table 2 reports the results of the *F*-test at a 5% critical bound for the two models. We observe that there is evidence to support a long-run relationship between real output, real exports, and real imports in Greece, Portugal, and Spain, when real output is the dependent variable (model 1). The existence of a cointegrated relationship between the variables is confirmed in Greece, Spain, and Italy when real exports is the dependent variable (model 2). Therefore, in the next step, we will calculate the long-run parameters of the models where the variables are cointegrated.

**Table 2: F-statistics for testing the existence of a long-run relationship**

	Greece	Italy	Portugal	Spain
<b>F(y/ex, im)</b>	<b>7.5217</b>	2.9505	<b>6.3112</b>	<b>3.9559</b>
<b>F(ex /y, im)</b>	<b>6.7967</b>	<b>4.4206</b>	1.5896	<b>5.0062</b>

Notes: The F-statistic is used to test for the joint significance of the coefficients of the lagged levels in the ARDL-ECM. Critical value bounds for the present specification with constant, no trend, k=3 and 95% level of confidence are (2.79; 3.67).

Using the long-run estimated parameters resulting from the PSS's method (Table 3), we confirm a positive association between real exports and real output in Greece and Spain. For example, in Portugal (Spain), a 1% increase in real exports causes real output to increase by 0.17% (0.23%), while real output increases by 0.59% (0.19%), given a 1% increase in real imports.

From the long-run estimated parameters of model 2, we observe that the effect of an increase of real output on real exports is positive for Greece, Italy and Spain. In the case of Greece, a strong positive impact emerges from real output in real exports. More specifically, the coefficient of real output implies that a 1% increase in real output contributes to real exports by almost 0.86%. The same positive behaviour is confirmed for real imports on real exports for all examined countries.

Moreover, the short-run coefficients are negative (Table 4), indicating that there is convergence. These coefficients show the speed of adjustment back to long-run equilibrium after a short-run shock.



<b>Table 3: Estimated long-run coefficients</b>					
<b>Dependent variable</b>		<b>Intercept</b>	<b>Real Output</b>	<b>Real Exports</b>	<b>Real Imports</b>
<i>Real Output</i>					
<b>Greece</b>	ARDL (2, 5, 5; 2)	15.7811 (16.7034)	1.00	0.0911 (1.0121)	0.4128 (1.9372)
<b>Portugal</b>	ARDL (1, 5, 0; 2)	17.4502 (29.2279)	1.00	0.1754 (3.2770)	0.5921 (8.4013)
<b>Spain</b>	ARDL (2, 3, 1; 2)	16.6343 (27.7290)	1.00	0.2338 (3.3947)	0.1907 (3.4449)
<i>Real Exports</i>					
<b>Greece</b>	ARDL (2, 6, 1; 2)	-6.7557 (-1.0460)	0.8465 (2.4917)	1.00	0.3589 (2.9396)
<b>Italy</b>	ARDL (4, 0, 1; 2)	-6.6464 (-5.611)	0.5887 (6.2134)	1.00	0.6314 (3.1129)
<b>Spain</b>	ARDL (1, 5, 6; 2)	2.2282 (1.9871)	0.08221 (0.4278)	1.00	0.9556 (4.1632)

Notes: Figures in parentheses denote the t-statistics.

<b>Table 4: Short-run dynamic coefficients</b>				
<b>Regressor</b>	<b>ECM-GRE</b>	<b>ECM-ITA</b>	<b>ECM-ESP</b>	<b>ECM-PRT</b>
$\Delta(y)$	-0.2082 (-6.6668)		-0.1110 (-9.0928)	-0.4574 (-9.9402)
$\Delta(ex)$	-0.6836 (-6.3161)	-0.2432 (-3.379)	-0.1008 (-2.7542)	

Note: Figures in parentheses denote the t-statistics.

#### 4.2.2 Toda-Yamamoto results

The usual lag selection procedure can be applied to a possibly integrated or cointegrated VAR, as far as the maximal order of integration does not exceed the true lag length of the model. The results for all variables are tabulated in Table 5. Table 6 reports the results of the TY approach. We find the existence of a bidirectional causality relationship between real exports to real output in Greece and in Spain. In the case of Portugal, the results seem to be in favour of a one-way relationship from real exports to real GDP. No-causality relations are found for Italy.

<b>Table 5: VAR lag order selection</b>							
	<i>Criteria</i>						<i>Selection</i>
	<b>LR</b>	<b>FPE</b>	<b>AIC</b>	<b>SIC</b>	<b>HQ</b>	<b>LM</b>	
<b>Greece</b>	2	2	2	1	2	2	<b>2</b>
<b>Italy</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	<b>1</b>
<b>Portugal</b>	3	4	4	1	2	3	<b>4</b>
<b>Spain</b>	3	3	3	1	1	3	<b>3</b>

Notes: LR: sequential modified likelihood ratio statistic, Final Prediction Error (FPE), Akaike (AIC), Schwarz (SC) and Hannan & Quinn (HQ) criteria, LM: Lagrange multiplier tests are also computed and the optimal number of lags for each country's VAR( $k$ ) model eliminates serial correlation from the residuals.

<b>Table 6: Toda-Yamamoto causality tests</b>		
	<b>Real exports to real output</b>	<b>Real output to real export</b>
Greece	<b>0,0972</b>	<b>0,0505</b>
Italy	0,2514	0,9545
Portugal	<b>0,0022</b>	0,4922
Spain	<b>0,0329</b>	<b>0,0956</b>

Note: Bolded types signify cases in which the null hypothesis of non-causality is rejected at the 10% significance level.

## 5. Summary of the Findings

This paper examined the relationship between economic growth and exports in the Southern Euro-zone economies. We utilize the ARDL bounds approach of Pesaran et al. (2001), which ensures that our results are robust to uncertainty about the order of integration of the variables. Our results support the existence of a positive long-run relationship between the variables of interest in Portugal, Spain, and Greece. In the case of Italy, there is a positive equilibrium relation when the dependent variable is real exports. Moreover, the TY procedure for detecting causality indicates that there is a bidirectional causal relation in Spain and Greece. In the case of Portugal, we find a unidirectional causality relationship from real exports to economic growth. No-causality relations are found for Italy.

## References

- [1] **Ahmed, J., and A.C.C. Kwan (1991)** "Causality between exports and economic growth," *Economics Letters* 37: 243-248.
- [2] **Afxentiou, P., and A. Serletis (1991)** "Exports and GNP Causality in the Industrial Countries: 1950-1985," *Kyklos* 44: 167-179.
- [3] **Awokuse, T.O., (2003)** "Is the export-led growth hypothesis valid for Canada?," *Canadian Journal of Economics* 36 (1): 126-136.
- [4] **Awokuse, T.O., (2005)** "Exports, economic growth and causality in Korea," *Applied Economics Letters* 12: 693-696.
- [5] **Bahmani-Oskooee, M., Mohtadi, H., and G. Shabsigh (1991)** "Exports, growth and causality in LDCs: A re-examination," *Journal of Development Economics* 36: 405-415.
- [6] **Balaguer, J., and M. Cantavella-Jorda (2004)** "Export composition and Spanish economic growth: evidence from the 20th century," *Journal of Policy Modeling* 26: 165-179.
- [7] **Balassa, B., (1978)** "Export and economic growth: further evidence," *Journal of Development Economics* 5(2): 181-189.
- [8] **Balassa, B., (1985)** "Exports, policy choices, and economic growth in developing countries after the 1973 oil shocks," *Journal of Development Economics* 18(1): 23-35.
- [9] **Bhagwati, B., and T.N. Srinivasan (1978)** "Trade Policy and Development," In *International Economic Policy: Theory and Evidence*, edited by R. Dornbusch and J.A. Frenkel, 1-38.
- [10] **Blumenthal, T., (1972)** "Exports and economic growth: The case of postwar Japan," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 86 (4): 617-631.
- [11] **Chow, P., (1987)** "Causality between exports growth and industrial development," *Journal of Development Economics* 26: 55-63.
- [12] **Dickey, D.A. and W.A. Fuller (1979)** "Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root," *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74: 427-431.
- [13] **Dolado, J.J., and H. Lütkepohl (1996)** "Making Wald tests work for cointegrated VAR systems," *Econometric Reviews* 15: 369-386.
- [14] **Dorado, S., (1993)** "Exports and growth: A reconsideration of causality," *Journal of Developing Areas* 27: 227-244.
- [15] **Feder, G., (1982)** "On exports and economic growth," *Journal of Development Economics* 12: 59-73.

- [16] **Granger, C.W.J., (1969)** "Investigating causal relationships by econometric models and cross-spectral models," *Econometrica* 37: 424-438.
- [17] **Heller, P.S., and R.C. Porter (1978)** "Exports and Growth: An empirical re-investigation," *Journal of Development Economics* 5(2): 191-193.
- [18] **Helpman, E., and P. Krugman (1985)** Market structure and Foreign trade. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- [19] **Henriques, I., and P. Sadorsky (1996)** "Export-led growth or growth-driven exports? The Canadian case," *Canadian Journal of Economics* 29 (3): 540-555.
- [20] **Jung, W.S., and P.J. Marshall (1985)** "Exports, growth and causality in development countries," *Journal of Development Economics* 18: 1-12.
- [21] **Jun, S., (2007)** "Bi-directional relationship between exports and growth: A panel cointegration analysis," *Journal of Economic Research* 12: 133-171.
- [22] **Kavoussi, R.M., (1984)** "Export expansion and economic growth: Further empirical evidence," *Journal of Development Economics* 17: 241-250.
- [23] **Konya, L., (2006)** "Exports and growth: Granger causality analysis on OECD countries with a panel data approach," *Economic Modelling* 23: 978-992.
- [24] **Kwan, A. C. C., and J. A. Cotsomitis (1991),** "Economic growth and the expanding export sector: China 1952-1985," *International Economic Journal* 5(1): 105-116.
- [25] **Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P.C.B., Schmidt, P., and Y. Shin, (1992)** "Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root: How sure are we that economic time series have a unit root?" *Journal of Econometrics* 54(1-3): 159-178.
- [26] **Kunst, R.M., and D. Marin (1989)** "On exports and productivity: A causal analysis," *Review of Economics and Statistics* 71: 699-703.
- [27] **Mah, J.S., (2005)** "Exports expansion, economic growth and causality in China," *Applied Economics Letters* 12: 105-107.
- [28] **Marin, D., (1992)** "Is the export-led growth hypothesis valid for industrialized countries?" *Review of Economics and Statistics* 74 (4): 678-688.
- [29] **Michaely, M., (1977)** "Exports and Growth," *Journal of Development Economics* 4: 49-53.
- [30] **Michalopoulos, C., and K. Jay (1973)** "Growth of exports and income in the developing world: A Neoclassical view," A.I.D. Bureau for program and policy coordination, Discussion paper no.29.
- [31] **Perasan, M.H., Shin, Y., and Smith, R.J. (2001)** "Bounds Testing Approaches to the Analysis of Level Relationships," *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 16(3): 289-326.

- [32] **Pistoresi, B., and A. Rinaldi (2012)** "Exports, imports and growth: New evidence on Italy, 2863-2004," *Explorations in Economic History* 49: 241-254.
- [33] **Ram, R., (1985)** "Exports and Economic Growth: Some Additional Evidence," *Economic Development and Cultural change* 33 (2): 415-25.
- [34] **Ram, R., (1987)** "Exports and Economic Growth in Developing Countries: Evidence from Time-Series and Cross-Section Data," *Economic Development and Cultural change* 36 (1): 51-72.
- [35] **Ramos, F. R., (2001)** "Exports, imports, and economic growth in Portugal: evidence from causality and cointegration analysis," *Economic Modelling* 18: 613-623.
- [36] **Hye, Q.M.A., Wizarat, S., and W.-Y. Lau (2013)** "Trade-Led growth hypothesis: An empirical analysis of South Asian countries," *Economic Modelling* 35: 654-660.
- [37] **Ramos, F. R., (2001)** "Exports, imports, and economic growth in Portugal: evidence from causality and cointegration analysis," *Economic Modelling* 18: 613-623.
- [38] **Riezman, R.G., Whiteman, C.H., and P.M. Summers (1996)** "The engine of growth or its Handmaiden? A Time-Series assessment of Export-led growth," *Empirical Economics* 21: 77-110.
- [39] **Rivera-Batiz, L.A. and P.M. Romer (1991)** "Economic Integration and Endogenous Growth," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 106 (2): 531-555.
- [40] **Romer, P.M. (1990)** "Endogenous Technological Change," *The Journal of Political Economy* 98 (5): 71-102, Part 2: The Problem of Development: A Conference of the Institute for the Study of Free Enterprise Systems.
- [41] **Serletis, A., (1992)** "Export Growth and Canadian Economic Development," *Journal of Development Economics* 38: 133-145.
- [42] **Shan, J., and F. Sun (1998)** "Export-led growth hypothesis for Australia: an empirical re-investigation," *Applied Economics Letters* 5: 423-428.
- [43] **Shan, J., and F. Sun (1999)** "Export-led growth and the US economy: some further testing," *Applied Economics Letters* 6: 169-172.
- [44] **Sheehey, E.J., (1992)** "Exports and growth: additional evidence," *Journal of Development Studies* 28 (4): 730-734.
- [45] **Sims, C., (1972)** "Money, Income and Causality," *American Economic Review* 62: 540-552.
- [46] **Sharma, S. C., Norris, M., and D. W.-W. Cheung (1991)** "Exports and economic growth in industrialized countries," *Applied Economics* 23: 697-708.

- [47] **Tang, T.C. (2006)** New evidence on export expansion, economic growth and causality in China, *Applied Economics Letters*, 13: 801-803, DOI: 0.1080/13504850500425303.
- [48] **Toda, H.Y., and P.C.B. Phillips (1993)** "Vector autoregressions and causality," *Econometrica* 61: 367-1393.
- [49] **Toda, H.Y., and T. Yamamoto (1995)** "Statistical inference in vector autoregressions with possibly integrated processes," *Journal of Econometrics* 66 (1-2): 225-250.
- [50] **Tyler, W.G., (1981)** "Growth and Export expansion in developing countries," *Journal of Development Economics* 9: 121-130.
- [51] **Thornton, J., (1997)** "Exports and economic growth: Evidence from 19<sup>th</sup> Century Europe," *Economics Letters* 55: 235-240.
- [52] **Yamada, H., (1998)** "A note on the causality between export and productivity: an empirical re-examination," *Economics Letters* 61: 111-114.
- [53] **Zapata, H.O., and A.N. Rambaldi (1997)** "Monte Carlo Evidence on Cointegration and Causation," *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 59 (2): 285-298.

## IN THE SAME SERIES

148. I. Cholezas and N. C. Kanellopoulos, “Decomposing Gender Unemployment Differentials in Greece”, 2016.
147. E. Athanassiou and E. Tsouma, “The Effects of Financial and Housing Wealth on Private Consumption in Greece”, 2015.
146. I. Konstantakopoulou, “Should Fiscal Policy Be Relaxed in the Eurozone?”, 2015.
145. J. Cavounidis and I. Cholezas, “Labour Force Participation of Female Youth: the Role of Culture”, 2015.
144. N. Vayonis and S. Skoultzos, “The Importance of Russia and China Tourism Markets for Global and Greek Inbound Tourism”, 2015 (In Greek).
143. R. Panagiotou, “Western Balkan Accession Policies: An Evaluation of the Greek 2014 EU Presidency”, 2015.
142. T. Mariolis and G. Soklis, “The Sraffian Multiplier for the Greek Economy: Evidence from the Supply and Use Table for the Year 2010”, 2015.
141. S. K. Papaioannou, “Fiscal Multipliers in Euro Area Peripheral Countries: Empirical Evidence from a Structural VAR Model”, 2015.
140. A. Koutroulis, Y. Panagopoulos and E. Tsouma, “Is there an Asymmetric Response in Unemployment Rate to Changes in Output? A Hidden Cointegration Approach”, 2015.
139. A. Chymis and A. Skouloudis, “National CSR and Institutional Conditions: An Exploratory Study”, 2014.
138. Th. Tsekeris, “Network Analysis of Inter-sectoral Relationships and Key Economic Sectors”, 2014.
137. I. Cholezas, “The Greek Labour Market under Conditions of Economic Recession and the «Youth Guarantee»”, 2014 (in Greek).
136. S. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Efficiency Impact of ICT and the Role of Product Market Regulation: Sectoral Analysis Across a Panel of EU Economies”, 2014.
135. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Reassessing the Asymmetries and Rigidities in the Interest Rate Pass Through Process: A Hidden Co-integration Approach”, 2014. Published in *Credit and Capital Markets*, vol. 48 (3), 2015, pp. 477-500
134. S. K. Papaioannou, “Long Run Effects of Regulation Across OECD Countries: Panel Data Evidence within a Productivity Convergence Model”, 2014.
133. P. Prodromidis, S. Petros and A. Petralias, “Analyzing the Unleaded Gasoline Retail Price Patterns in Greece: Apr. 2011 – Dec. 2012”, 2013 (in Greek). Published in *South Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, vol. 12 (2), 2014, pp. 215-241.



132. S. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Public Ownership, Entry Regulation and TFP Growth within a Productivity Convergence Model: Industry Level Evidence from South European Countries”, 2013.
131. S. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Human Capital Effects on Technical Inefficiency: A Stochastic Frontier Analysis a Cross Sectors of the Greek Economy”, 2013. Published in *International Review of Applied Economics*, vol. 28 (6), 2014, pp. 797-812.
130. Th. Tsekeris and Kl. Vogiatzoglou, “Regional Specialization and Public Infrastructure Investments: Empirical Evidence from Greece”, 2013. Published in modified form in *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, vol. 6 (3), 2014, pp. 265-289.
129. E. Athanassiou, N. Kanellopoulos, R. Karagiannis, I. Katselidis and A. Kotsi, “Measurement of the Intensity of Regulations in Professions and Economic Activities in Greece via Regulation Indices”, 2013 (in Greek).
128. D. Papageorgiou and A. Kazanas, “A Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Model for a Small Open Economy: Greece”, 2013.
127. Th. Tsekeris, “Measurements of Intra- and Inter-sectoral Dependencies of Public Investments with Budget Constrains”, 2013. Published in modified form in *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, vol. 48 (4), 2014, pp. 263-272.
126. S. K. Papaioannou, “Economic Growth in Greece: Medium Term Trends and Future Prospects”, 2012.
125. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Is the Eurozone Homogeneous and Symmetric? An Interest Rate Pass-through Approach Before and During the Recent Financial Crisis”, 2012.
124. D. Papageorgiou, T. Efthimiadis and I. Konstantakopoulou, “Effective Tax Rates in Greece”, 2012.
123. I. Konstantakopoulou and E. G. Tsionas, “ABC’s of the 2008 Recession: Robust and Reliable International Evidence on the Austrian Theory of the Business Cycle”, 2012.
122. K. Vogiatzoglou and Th. Tsekeris, “Spatial Agglomeration of Manufacturing in Greece”, 2011. Published in *European Planning Studies*, vol. 21, 2013, pp. 1853-1872.
121. N. C. Kanellopoulos, “Disability and Labour Force Participation in Greece: A Microeconometric Analysis”, 2011.
120. K. Athanassouli, “Transition Professionnelle et Rémunérations des Jeunes Raires Grecs: Une Mise en Évidence des Stratégies Par Genre et des Tendances des Pays de l’OCDE”, 2011.
119. A. Caraballo and T. Efthimiadis, “Is 2% an Optimal Inflation Rate? Evidence from the Euro Area”, 2011.

118. P. Prodromídis and Th. Tsekeris , “Probing into Greece’s 2007-2013 National Strategic Reference Framework. A Suggestion to Review the Regional Allocation of Funds”, 2011 (in Greek).
117. P. Paraskevaídis, “The Economic Role of the EU in the Global Economy: A Comparative Analysis”, 2011.
116. E. A. Kaditi and E. I. Nitsi, “Recent Evidence on Taxpayers’ Reporting Decision in Greece: A Quantile Regression Approach”, 2011.
115. T. Efthimiadis and P. Tsintzos, “The Share of External Debt and Economic Growth”, 2011.
114. E. Tsouma, “Predicting Growth and Recessions Using Leading Indicators: Evidence from Greece”, 2010.
113. A. Chymis, I. E. Nikolaou and K. Evangelinos, “Environmental Information, Asymmetric Information and Financial Markets: A Game-Theoretic Approach”, 2010. Published in *Environmental Modelling and Assessment*, vol. 18 (6), 2013, pp. 615-628.
112. E. A. Kaditi and E. I. Nitsi, “Applying Regression Quantiles to Farm Efficiency Estimation”, 2010.
111. I. Cholezas, “Gender Earnings Differentials in Europe”, 2010.
110. Th. Tsekeris, “Greek Airports: Efficiency Measurement and Analysis of Determinants”, 2010. Published in *Journal of Air Transport Management*, vol.17 (2), 2011, pp. 139-141.
109. S. P. Dimelis and S. K. Papaioannou, “Technical Efficiency and the Role of Information Technology: A Stochastic Production Frontier Study Across OECD Countries”, 2010.
108. I. Cholezas, “Education in Europe: Earnings Inequality, Ability and Uncertainty”, 2010.
107. N. Benos, “Fiscal Policy and Economic Growth: Empirical Evidence from EU Countries”, 2009.
106. E. A. Kaditi and E. I. Nitsi, “A Two-stage Productivity Analysis Using Bootstrapped Malmquist Index and Quantile Regression”, 2009.
105. St. Karagiannis and N. Benos, “The Role of Human Capital in Economic Growth: Evidence from Greek Regions”, 2009.
104. E. Tsouma, “A Coincident Economic Indicator of Economic Activity in Greece”, 2009.
103. E. Athanassiou, “Fiscal Policy and the Recession: The Case of Greece”, 2009. Published in *Intereconomics: Review of European Economic Policy*, vol. 44 (6), 2009, pp. 364-372.
102. St. Karagiannis. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Modeling Banks’ Lending Behavior in a Capital Regulated Framework”, 2009. Published in *Metroeconomica*, vol. 63 (2), 2012, pp. 385-416.

101. Th. Tsekeris, “Public Expenditure Competition in the Greek Transport Sector: Inter-modal and Spatial Considerations”, 2009. Published in *Environment and Planning A*, vol. 43 (8), 2011, pp. 1981-1998.
100. N. Georgikopoulos and C. Leon, “Stochastic Shocks of the European and the Greek Economic Fluctuations”, 2009.
99. P.-I. Prodromídis, “Deriving Labor Market Areas in Greece from Computing Flows”, 2008. Published under the title “Identifying Spatial Labor Markets in Greece from the 2001 Travel-to-Work Patterns” in *South Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, vol. 8 (1), 2010, pp. 111-128.
98. Y. Panagopoulos and P. Vlamis, “Bank Lending, Real Estate Bubbles and Basel II”, 2008. Published in *Journal of Real Estate Literature*, vol. 17 (2), 2009, pp. 295-310.
97. Y. Panagopoulos, “Basel II and the Money Supply Process: Some Empirical Evidence from the Greek Banking System (1995-2006)”, 2007. Published in *Applied Economics Letters*, vol. 17 (10), 2010, pp. 973-976.
96. N. Benos and St. Karagiannis, “Growth Empirics: Evidence from Greek Regions”, 2007.
95. N. Benos and St. Karagiannis, “Convergence and Economic Performance in Greece: New Evidence at Regional and Prefecture Level”, 2007.
94. Th. Tsekeris, “Consumer Demand Analysis of Complementarities and Substitutions in the Greek Passenger Transport Market”, 2007. Published in *International Journal of Transport Economics*, vol. 35 (3), 2008, pp. 415-449.
93. Y. Panagopoulos, I. Reziti and A. Spiliotis, “Monetary and Banking Policy Transmission Through Interest Rates: An Empirical Application to the USA, Canada, U.K. and European Union”, 2007. Published in *International Review of Applied Economics*, vol. 24 (2), 2010, pp. 119-136.
92. W. Kafouros and N. Vagionis, “Greek Foreign Trade with Five Balkan States During the Transition Period 1993-2000: Opportunities Exploited and Missed”, 2007.
91. St. Karagiannis, “The Knowledge-Based Economy, Convergence and Economic Growth: Evidence from the European Union”, 2007.
90. Y. Panagopoulos, “Some Further Evidence upon Testing Hysteresis in the Greek Phillips-type Aggregate Wage Equation”, 2007.
89. N. Benos, “Education Policy, Growth and Welfare”, 2007.
88. P. Baltzakis, “Privatization and Deregulation”, 2006 (in Greek).
87. Y. Panagopoulos and I. Reziti, “The Price Transmission Mechanism in the Greek Food Market: An empirical Approach”, 2006. Published under the title “Asymmetric Price Transmission in the Greek Agri-Food Sector: Some Tests” in *Agribusiness*, vol. 24 (1), 2008, pp. 16-30.

86. P.-I. Prodromidis, “Functional Economies or Administrative Units in Greece: What Difference Does It Make for Policy?”, 2006. Published in *Review of Urban & Regional Development Studies*, vol. 18 (2), 2006, pp. 144-164.
85. P.-I. Prodromidis, “Another View on an Old Inflation: Environment and Policies in the Roman Empire up to Diocletian’s Price Edict”, 2006. Published under the title “Economic Environment, Policies and Inflation in the Roman Empire up to Diocletian’s Price Edict” in *Journal of European Economic History*, vol. 38 (3), 2009, pp. 567-605.
84. E. Athanassiou, “Prospects of Household Borrowing in Greece and their Importance for Growth”, 2006. Published in *South-Eastern Europe Journal of Economics*, vol. 5, 2007, pp. 63-75.
83. G. C. Kostelenos, “La Banque Nationale de Grèce et ses Statistiques Monétaires (1841-1940)”, 2006. Published in *Mesurer la monnaie. Banques centrales et construction de l’autorité monétaire (XIX<sup>e</sup>-XX<sup>e</sup> siècle)*, Paris: Edition Albin Michel, 2005, pp. 69-86.
82. P. Baltzakis, “The Need for Industrial Policy and its Modern Form”, 2006 (in Greek).
81. St. Karagiannis, “A Study of the Diachronic Evolution of the EU’s Structural Indicators Using Factorial Analysis”, 2006.
80. I. Resiti, “An Investigation into the Relationship between Producer, Wholesale and Retail Prices of Greek Agricultural Products”, 2005.
79. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “An Empirical Approach to the Greek Money Supply”, 2005.
78. Y. Panagopoulos and A. Spiliotis, “Testing Alternative Money Theories: A G7 Application”, 2005. Published in *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, vol. 30 (4), 2008, pp. 601-622.
77. I. A. Venetis and E. Emmanuilidi, “The Fatness in Equity Returns. The Case of Athens Stock Exchange”, 2005.
76. I. A. Venetis, I. Paya and D. A. Peel, “Do Real Exchange Rates “Mean Revert” to productivity? A Nonlinear Approach”, 2005. Published in *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 65 (4), 2003, pp. 421-437.
75. C. N. Kanellopoulos, “Tax Evasion in Corporate Firms: Estimates from the Listed Firms in Athens Stock Exchange in 1990s ”, 2002 (in Greek).
74. N. Glytsos, “Dynamic Effects of Migrant Remittances on Growth: An Econometric Model with an Application to Mediterranean Countries”, 2002. Published under the title “The Contribution of Remittances to Growth: A Dynamic Approach and Empirical Analysis” in *Journal of Economic Studies*, vol. 32 (6), 2005, pp. 468-496.
73. N. Glytsos, “A model of Remittance Determination Applied to Middle East and North Africa Countries”, 2002.

72. Th. Simos, "Forecasting Quarterly GDP Using a System of Stochastic Differential Equations", 2002.
71. C. N. Kanellopoulos and K. G. Mavromaras, "Male-Female Labour Market Participation and Wage Differentials in Greece", 2000. Published in *Labour*, vol. 16 (4), 2002, pp. 771-801.
70. St. Balfoussias and R. De Santis, "The Economic Impact of the Cap Reform on the Greek Economy: Quantifying the Effects of Inflexible Agricultural Structures", 1999.
69. M. Karamessini and O. Kaminioti, "Labour Market Segmentation in Greece: Historical Perspective and Recent Trends", 1999.
68. S. Djajic, S. Lahiri and P. Raimondos-Moller, "Logic of Aid in an Intertemporal Setting", 1997.
67. St. Makrydakis, "Sources of Macroeconomic Fluctuations in the Newly Industrialized Economies: A Common Trends Approach", 1997. Published in *Asian Economic Journal*, vol. 11 (4), 1997, pp. 361-383.
66. G. Petrakos and N. Christodoulakis, "Economic Development in the Balkan Countries and the Role of Greece: From Bilateral Relations to the Challenge of Integration", 1997.
65. C. Kanellopoulos, "Pay Structure in Greece 1974-1994", 1997.
64. M. Chletsos, Chr. Kollias and G. Manolas, "Structural Economic Changes and their Impact on the Relationship Between Wages, Productivity and Labour Demand in Greece", 1997.
63. M. Chletsos, "Changes in Social Policy-social Insurance, Restructuring the Labour Market and the Role of the State in Greece in the Period of European Integration", 1997.
62. M. Chletsos, "Government Spending and Growth in Greece 1958-1993: Some Preliminary Empirical Results", 1997.
61. M. Karamessini, "Labour Flexibility and Segmentation of the Greek Labour Market in the Eighties: Sectoral Analysis and Typology", 1997.
60. Chr. Kollias and St. Makrydakis, "Is there a Greek-Turkish Arms Race? Evidence from Cointegration and Causality Tests", 1997. Published in *Defence and Peace Economics*, vol. 8, 1997, pp. 355-379.
59. St. Makrydakis, "Testing the Intertemporal Approach to Current Account Determination: Evidence from Greece", 1996. Published in *Empirical Economics*, vol. 24 (2), 1999, pp. 183-209.
58. Chr. Kollias and St. Makrydakis, "The Causal Relationship Between Tax Revenues and Government Spending in Greece: 1950-1990", 1996. Published in *The Cyprus Journal of Economics*, vol. 8 (2), 1995, pp. 120-135.
57. Chr. Kollias and A. Refenes, "Modeling the Effects of Defence Spending Reductions on Investment Using Neural Networks in the Case of Greece", 1996.

56. Th. Katsanevas, "The Evolution of Employment and Industrial Relations in Greece (from the Decade of 1970 up to the Present)", 1996 (in Greek).
55. D. Dogas, "Thoughts on the Appropriate Stabilization and Development Policy and the Role of the Bank of Greece in the Context of the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)", 1996 (in Greek).
54. N. Glytsos, "Demographic Changes, Retirement, Job Creation and Labour Shortages in Greece: An Occupational and Regional Outlook", 1996. Published in *Journal of Economic Studies*, vol. 26 (2-3), 1999, pp. 130-158.
53. N. Glytsos, "Remitting Behavior of "Temporary" and "Permanent" Migrants: The Case of Greeks in Germany and Australia", 1996. Published in *Labour*, vol. 11 (3), 1997, pp. 409-435.
52. V. Stavrinos and V. Droucopoulos, "Output Expectations, Productivity Trends and Employment: The Case of Greek Manufacturing", 1996. Published in *European Research Studies*, vol. 1, (2), 1998, pp. 93-122.
51. A. Balfoussias and V. Stavrinos, "The Greek Military Sector and Macroeconomic Effects of Military Spending in Greece", 1996. Published in N. P. Gleditsch, O. Bjerkholt, A. Cappelen, R. P. Smith and J. P. Dunne (eds.), *In the Peace Dividend*, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1996, pp. 191-214.
50. J. Henley, "Restructuring Large Scale State Enterprises in the Republics of Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, the Kyrgyz Republic and Uzbekistan: The Challenge for Technical Assistance", 1995.
49. C. Kanellopoulos and G. Psacharopoulos, "Private Education Expenditure in a "Free Education" Country: The Case of Greece", 1995. Published in *International Journal of Educational Development*, vol. 17 (1), 1997, pp. 73-81.
48. G. Kouretas and L. Zarangas, "A Cointegration Analysis of the Official and Parallel Foreign Exchange Markets for Dollars in Greece", 1995. Published in *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, vol. 3, 1998, pp. 261-276.
47. St. Makrydakis, E. Tzavalis and A. Balfoussias, "Policy Regime Changes and the Long-Run Sustainability of Fiscal Policy: An Application to Greece", 1995. Published in *Economic Modelling*, vol. 16 (1), 1999, 71-86.
46. N. Christodoulakis and S. Kalyvitis, "Likely Effects of CSF 1994-1999 on the Greek Economy: An ex ante Assessment Using an Annual Four-Sector Macroeconometric Model", 1995.
45. St. Thomadakis and V. Droucopoulos, "Dynamic Effects in Greek Manufacturing: The Changing Shares of SMEs, 1983-1990", 1995. Published in *Review of Industrial Organization*, vol. 11 (1), 1996, pp. 69-78.
44. P. Mourdoukoutas, "Japanese Investment in Greece", 1995 (in Greek).

43. V. Rapanos, "Economies of Scale and the Incidence of the Minimum Wage in the Less Developed Countries", 1995. Published under the title "Minimum Wage and Income Distribution in the Harris-Todaro Model" in *Journal of Economic Development*, vol. 30 (1), 2005, pp. 1-14.
42. V. Rapanos, "Trade Unions and the Incidence of the Corporation Income Tax", 1995.
41. St. Balfoussias, "Cost and Productivity in Electricity Generation in Greece", 1995.
40. V. Rapanos, "The Effects of Environmental Taxes on Income Distribution", 1995. Published in *European Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 11 (3), 1995, pp. 487-501.
39. V. Rapanos, "Technical Change in a Model with Fair Wages and Unemployment", 1995. Published in *International Economic Journal*, vol. 10 (4), 1996, pp. 99-121.
38. M. Panopoulou, "Greek Merchant Navy, Technological Change and Domestic Shipbuilding Industry from 1850 to 1914", 1995. Published in *The Journal of Transport History*, vol. 16 (2), 1995, pp. 159-178.
37. C. Vergopoulos, "Public Debt and its Effects", 1994 (in Greek).
36. C. Kanellopoulos, "Public-Private Wage Differentials in Greece", 1994. Published in *Applied Economics*, vol. 29, 1997, pp. 1023-1032.
35. Z. Georganta, K. Kotsis and Emm. Kounaris, "Measurement of Total Factor Productivity in the Manufacturing Sector of Greece, 1980-1991", 1994.
34. E. Petrakis and A. Xepapadeas, "Environmental Consciousness and Moral Hazard in International Agreements to Protect the Environment", 1994. Published in *Journal Public Economics*, vol. 60, 1996, pp. 95-110.
33. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "The Quality Strategy: A Viable Alternative for Small Mediterranean Agricultures", 1994.
32. Z. Georganta, "Measurement Errors and the Indirect Effects of R & D on Productivity Growth: The U.S. Manufacturing Sector", 1993.
31. P. Paraskevaïdis, "The Economic Function of Agricultural Cooperative Firms", 1993 (in Greek).
30. Z. Georganta, "Technical (In) Efficiency in the U.S. Manufacturing Sector, 1977-1982", 1993.
29. H. Dellas, "Stabilization Policy and Long Term Growth: Are they Related?", 1993.
28. Z. Georganta, "Accession in the EC and its Effect on Total Factor Productivity Growth of Greek Agriculture", 1993.
27. H. Dellas, "Recessions and Ability Discrimination", 1993.

26. Z. Georganta, "The Effect of a Free Market Price Mechanism on Total Factor Productivity: The Case of the Agricultural Crop Industry in Greece", 1993. Published in *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 52, 1997, pp. 55-71.
25. A. Gana, Th. Zervou and A. Kotsi, "Poverty in the Regional of Greece in the Late 80s", 1993 (in Greek).
24. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Income Inequalities and Regional Distribution of the Labour Force Age Group 20-29", 1993 (in Greek).
23. C. Eberwein and Tr. Kollintzas, "A Dynamic Model of Bargaining in a Unionized Firm with Irreversible Investment", 1993. Published in *Annales d' Economie et de Statistique*, vol. 37/38, 1995, pp. 91-115.
22. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Evaluation of Regional Development Plans in the East Macedonia-Thrace's and Crete's Agricultural Sector", 1993 (in Greek).
21. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Regional Typology of Farms", 1993 (in Greek).
20. St. Balfoussias, "Demand for Electric Energy in the Presence of a Two-block Declining Price Schedule", 1993.
19. St. Balfoussias, "Ordering Equilibria by Output or Technology in a Non-linear Pricing Context", 1993.
18. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "Rural Problems and Policy in Greece", 1993.
17. Cl. Efstratoglou, "Export Trading Companies: International Experience and the Case of Greece", 1992 (in Greek).
16. P. Paraskevaïdis, "Effective Protection, Domestic Resource Cost and Capital Structure of the Cattle Breeding Industry", 1992 (in Greek).
15. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "Reforming Common Agricultural Policy and Prospects for Greece", 1992 (in Greek).
14. C. Carabatsou-Pachaki, "Elaboration Principle/Evaluation Criteria for Regional Programmes", 1992 (in Greek).
13. G. Agapitos and P. Koutsouvelis, "The VAT Harmonization within EEC: Single Market and its Impacts on Greece's Private Consumption and Vat Revenue", 1992.
12. C. Kanellopoulos, "Incomes and Poverty of the Greek Elderly", 1992.
11. D. Maroulis, "Economic Analysis of the Macroeconomic Policy of Greece during the Period 1960-1990", 1992 (in Greek).
10. V. Rapanos, "Joint Production and Taxation", 1992. Published in *Public Finance/Finances Publiques*, vol. 48 (3), 1993, pp. 422-429.



9. V. Rapanos, "Technological Progress, Income Distribution, and Unemployment in the Less Developed Countries", 1992. Published in *Greek Economic Review*, 14 (2), 1992, pp. 179-192.
8. N. Christodoulakis, "Certain Macroeconomic Consequences of the European Integration", 1992 (in Greek).
7. L. Athanassiou, "Distribution Output Prices and Expenditure", 1992.
6. J. Geanakoplos and H. Polemarchakis, "Observability and Constrained Optima", 1992.
5. N. Antonakis and D. Karavidas, "Defense Expenditure and Growth in LDCs: The Case of Greece, 1950-1985", 1990.
4. C. Kanellopoulos, "The Underground Economy in Greece: What Official Data Show", (in Greek 1990 - in English 1992). Published in *Greek Economic Review*, vol. 14 (2), 1992, pp. 215-236.
3. J. Dutta and H. Polemarchakis, "Credit Constraints and Investment Finance: Evidence from Greece", 1990. Published in M. Monti (ed.), *Fiscal Policy, Economic Adjustment and Financial Markets*, International Monetary Fund, 1989.
2. L. Athanassiou, "Adjustments to the Gini Coefficient for Measuring Economic Inequality", 1990.
1. G. Alogoskoufis, "Competitiveness, Wage Rate Adjustment and Macroeconomic Policy in Greece", 1990 (in Greek). Published in *Applied Economics*, vol. 29, 1997, pp. 1023-1032.